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## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

Beivg called, upon to produce an edition of the Apology, I found myself embarrassed by the very abundance of material. For, unlike the Meno, the Apology had been amply edited in English. Indeed the only chance of imparting any districtive character to a new edtrion seemed to lie in neglecting the labours of others and trusting to my own resources to produce such notes as a long experience in teaching suggested might be useful. This course appeared the more excusable as the edition asked for was required to be of a somewhat elementary character. Accordingly no commentator was consulted untll my own notes were complete, Riddell only excepted, with whose views I was too familiar to be able to clear my mind of them, if I had wished to do so. It thus happens that a good deal of the common stock, especially in the way of illustrative references, has not been borrowed, but brought afresh. This, however, is a matter of very trifing importance to the reader, whose main concern is to find the matter at hand for his service. The other writers to whom I am bound to make acknowledgement for help here and there are Mr. Purves, who has included the whole of the Apology in his Selections from the Dialogues of Plato, the late Professor Wagner, and Mr. Lous Dyer, Assistant Professor in Harvard Un:versity, whose lucid Appendix on the Athenian Courts of Law has been of especial service. Mr. Adam's recent school edition, to which the present one must, to my regret, appear as a rival, I have never seen at all. It is perhaps superfluous to add that recourse has been had to such sources of information as Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography and Mythology, the works of Zeller and the inexhaustible mine of learning contained in Grote's writings.

Having acquitted myself of what may, be called for distinction public obligations, I now turn to more private and personal ones. My old frend and school-fellow, the Rev. Robert L. Clarke, Fellow and Librarian of Queen's College, has once more exercised his patient kindness in revising my notes. How shall I thank him for the time he has spent upon me, or for the truly Socratic irony with which he convinced me of error, while seeming to defer to my arguments in defence of it 1 To Mr. Evelyn Abbott too, Fellow of Ballol College, I am indebted not only for the useful suggestions which his practical experience of editing enabled him to make, but also for having placed at my disposal some valuable matter, of which I have availed myself as freely as it was given. The text followed has again been that of K. F. Hermann.

> \& Museum Villas, Oxford, Sept. i, 1887.

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

Is preparing this second edition of the Apology I have had the advantage of consulting Mr. J. Adam's excellent edition of the same classic in the Pitt Press Series. I am glad to find that our works need not be considered rivals, as his is intended for a higher class of readers than mine. The text in this edition has been brought into conformity, in all essentials, with that of Baiter, which is recognised by the University.

8 Museum Villas, Oxford, July 30, 1890 .

## INTRODUCTION.

The world will always be the better for the Apology of ImportSocrates. It shows us philosophy tried before the bar of a ance of the passing public opinion, condemned to drnk the bitter juice Apology. of the hemlock, and justified before the ages. It is an appeal from prejudice to reason, from seeming to being, from time to eternity. How often, when passion has subsided, does the better mind of man reject what man deliberately does in the name of God and goodness! As Anytus was leaving the court radiant with trumph, Socrates remarked, 'How miserable is this man, who seems not to know that, whichever of us has done the better and the nobler for all time, he it is who is the winner!'

It is to Plato's Apology that the world indirectly owes the deep and enduring influence of Stoicism. For it was the reading of this little work which sturred up Zeno from his far home in Cyprus, and brought him to Athens to study philosophy.

The Apology is the natural introduction to the writings of It forms the Plato. Not only is it one of the simplest and easiest of his natural inpieces, involving as it does no difficulties of argumentation, troduction but it has the further advantage of giving us a full-length of Plato. portrait of Socrates, in which the whole man is set vividly before us. In the dialogues we have Socrates at work on his mission. but the Apology lets us into the secret of what that mission was, and reveals to us the spırit in which Socrates undertook it. We see there the earnest thirst for truth, the dissatisfaction with received and unreasoned opinion, the incessant converse with men of all classes, the obstinate questioning of himself and others, the abnegation of all preten-
sions to knowledge, the dalectical method, the negatne result, the deep-seated peisuasion of a personal guidance by some unseen intelligence, the unfaltering faith in goodness; nor are the lightea touches wanting-the raillery, the mocksolemnity, the delicious rrony, the perfect politeness, the serene good humour.
Lost Socra- The 'Socraticæ chartæ' were far more extensive than the ic litera- remains which have come down to us We cannot indeed ture. quarnel wth time, which has preserved to us all Plato : but still a great loss has been sustamed of the innumerable works of Antisthenes', which made Timon call him 'an allproducing babbler,' not one has been spared to us. He was placed by ancient crticics in the foremost rank of the Socratics, on a level wth Plato and Xenophon. Of Alexamenus of Teos nothing more is known than that his were the firstwritten of the Socratic dalologues ${ }^{2}$. Among the othes mmediate discriples or friends of Socrates there were dialogues current in antiquity under the names of Aeschines, Aristuppus, Bryson, Cebes, Crito, Eucleddes, Glaucon, Phaedo, Simmias, and lastly of Smon the cobbler, to whose workshop Socrates used to lesort, and whotook notes of his discourses ${ }^{3}$. Amid this abundant Socratic literature, all of which owed its birth to the one orignative mpulse, there must have been much which would have helped us to bridge over the gulf between the Socrates of Plato and the Socrates of Xenophon. Aeschines in particular, owing to his lack of unagmation, was supposed by some critics to have reffected more fatthfully The trree than anyone else the genuipe mind of Socrates ${ }^{4}$. As it is,

[^0]however, we are reduced to three contemporary sources of pictures of information in endeavouring to estimate the real personality of Socrates-namely, the picture drawn of hum by Xenophon, the picture drawn of him by Plato, and the picture drawn of Xenophon, him by Aristophanes.
Widely different as these three pictures are, they have yet no unlikeness which is fatal to the genumeness of any. You may always distort a countenance almost beyond the bounds of recognition by merely depressing some of the features with- conflicting out at all exaggerating the rest. Xenophon, the man of action, brings out into full relief the practical side of the mind of Socrates; the theoretical is sketched only in faint outline. We have a hint given us here and there of a style of discourse, which the biographer, absorbed in admiration of the moral and social qualities of his hero, did not care to record at length To Plato, on the other hand, the thing of absorbing interest is the theoretical side of his master's mind, with which he has so interblended his own, that his veiy contemporaries did not seek to distinguish between the two. Socrates and Plato are like the manried spirts seen by Swedenborg, who presented to the observer the appearance of one human being

Even the cancature of Socrates drawn in the Clouds of Aristophanes does not contradict the ideas we derive of him from elsewhere. Only we have now shifted to the point of view of the enemy. Instead of marvelling at the severity and subtlety of the mind which must and will see what can be sald on both sides of a question, before it incline to ether, we condemn the Sophist, who is upsetting all established notions, and whose whole skill is to 'make the worse appear the better reason.' From this it is an easy descent to represent hım as a person of more than doubtful morality, whose society is contamınating his contemporaries from Euripides ${ }^{1}$ downwards. Difficult as it is for us to realise that Socrates could ever have been a mark for righteous indignation, as we look back upon his figure, encircled with a halo through the vista"of years, we must yet remember that this third picture

[^1]of Socrates was the popular one, and that in his own lifetme he was numbered among the disreputable ${ }^{1}$, and labelled 'dangerous.'

The Socrates of the Memorabilia.

As it is this thrrd picture of Socrates which chefly concerns the readet of the Apology, we will not dwell here upon the other two, nor seek to adjudge between therr respective clams to authentictty: Certainly the sententious person described by Xenophon in the Memorabilia, who too often reminds us painfully of Mr. Barlon, does not seem likely to have stirred men's minds by his discourses, as we know that the real Socrates actually did above all talkers before or after him, one only excepted. It may be, as an ingenous friend has put it to me, that Sociates 'talked up to Plato and down to Xenophon;' but more likely Socrates was the same throughout, and the mental eye of Plato and Xenophon saw in him what it brought the power to see. The Memorabilia indeed contans nothing but what is edffying, and some things that are striking ; but the mass of it is simply commonplace We may grant that what is commonplace now was profound and orginal when it was first uttered, and that it is the triumph of truth to have become trusm ; but this will not avail us much, for a good deal of what the Memorabila contains must, to adapt a vigorous phrase of Macaulay's, have been commonplace at the court of Chedorlaomer

The Socrates of Xeno. phon's Symposiam.

The sketch of Socrates in his lighter moments drawn by Xenophon in his Symposium approaches more nearly to Plato than anything in the Memorabilia. Xenophon's touch lacks the delicacy of Plato's, which redeems some of the features from coarseness: but we feel in reading the Symposium that we have essentally the same man before us as the Socrates of the Platonic dialogues.
Personality How the personality of that man has stamped itself upon of Socrates. the world's memory! We can picture him now to ourselves as familiarly as if he had moved among us but yesterday-

[^2]the robust frame, the frank ugliness, of which his friends, If not himself, were vain, the Silenus-like features, the snub nose, the thick lips, the protruding eyes-a regular beauty, as he himself declared, if beauty is to be measured by utility; for his eyes enabled him to see lound the corner, his nostrils were expanded to meet all odours, his nose had no useless bridge to interfere with seeing, his jaws were strong to bite, and his lips were soft to kiss ${ }^{1}$. We can fancy him starting from his humble home, shoeless and shirtless, as his manner was, except on some great occasion, when he wished to do honour to the banquet of a freend. He has risen betumes in the moming, and enjoyed the plain fare which a slave might have grumbled at; and now he is off to the walks or to the gymnasia, secretly glad perhaps to be relieved for a time from the excellent practice which Xanthippe afforded him in learning to bear patiently the humours of mankind. Later on in the day, when the market is filling, he will be sure to be there. for wherever men congregate, theie Socrates finds the materials for study. He may unroll the volumes of antiquity at intervals with his disciples, seeking to cull from therr pages some maxims which may be useful for life : but the real books of Socrates are 'the men in the city.' So devoted in fact is Socrates to this fascinating study of man, that he appears like a stranger beyond the city walls, and has to be enticed outside of them by Phaedrus with a book under his arm, like a donkey by a carrot. He might leave Athens on a religious mission, or at the call of duty, to serve with steady valour in the wars of his country; but would never be tempted away by the promptings of inclination. For what need had Socrates to leave Athens, 'the very prytaneum of wisdom,' to which all the most famous wits of the age were only too glad to come? It was there that his life's work lay, which he believed had been appointed him by God 'both by oracles and dreams, and in every way in which any divine dispensation had ever appointed anything to a man to do.'

His life's work.

The phalosophical importance of his conversation

And what was this life's nork? The queerest surely that was ever undertaken by mortal-but then Socrates was the queerest of mortals, as friends and foes alike declared; in fact half the secret of the mysterious charm which drew around Socrates a crrcle of devoted attendants, consisting of the keenest and brightest intellects of the age, lay in the fact that they had never seen or heard of anyone like hım ${ }^{1}$. The work then to which Socrates concerved himself to be called was that of convincing all the glib talkers of the age -the statesmen, sophists, rhetoricians, poets, diviners, rhapsodes, and all the rest of them, that they really knew nothing of the things which they were talking about. For not one of them could define the art or science which he professed to practise or to teach; and Socrates considered that all true knowledge must rest upon general defintions.2. It was the effort to apply this simple principle that led to the creation of the science of $\log \mathrm{c}$. And as the application was made evclusively to subjects connected with man, the $\delta\left(a \lambda \in \kappa \tau \kappa \kappa \chi^{\prime}\right.$, which Socrates so incessantly practised, contaned in germ ethics, politics, logic and metaphysics. Thus we see how the discourses of Socrates were the prolific seed-bed out of which sprang all subsequent Greek philosophy. It is not, however, with the phulosophical importance of Socrates' conversation that we ate here concerned, but with the

Effect produced by his crossevamina. tion practical effect produced by his ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi$ रos, or method of crossexamination, upon the minds of his victims. That effect, it is scarcely necessary to state, took the form of an extreme exasperation, in spite of the polished urbanty with which the operation was performed; in spite also of the soothing profession, which invariably accompanied it, that Socrates was equally $1 g n o r a n t$ with his lespondent, and was avaling himself of his valuable assistance in the search for knowledge.
Socrates' The picture that we have endeavoured to present of clam to in- Socrates' personality is not complete, untrl we have added spiration. the crouning feature of all-the claim modestly but seriously
${ }^{1}$ Plat. Symp. 221 c.
${ }^{2}$ Xen. Mem. ıv. 6, § 1.
advanced by this strange being that he was directly inspired by God. From his boyhood Socrates had been conscious of a singular experience, which appeared to mark him off from the rest of mankind. This was in an inner voice, which seemed to speak with him, and would check him suddenly when about to do or say something. To this vorce Socrates yielded an unquestioning obedience, and was enabled by its aid to give wise advice to his fnends with regard to the future -advice which they never refused to follow without subsequently regretting it ${ }^{1}$.
Connected doubtless with this phenomenon were the His fits of strange fits of abstraction to which Socrates was liable at abstracthe most unexpected moments. His friends, who were ${ }^{\text {tion. }}$ acquainted with this peculianty, made a point of not allowing him to be disturbed when he was in this condition. On one occasion, at Potidaea, Socrates is related to have stood thus in meditation for twenty-four hours, to the amazement of his fellow-soldiers, some of whom camped out all night from curiosity to see how long the fit of abstraction would continue. At sunrise Socrates said his prayers to the sun, and went off about his business ${ }^{2}$.
Such was the man who, up to the age of seventy, played His habit the part of a gadfly to the Athexans, settling dopn upon of calling them morning, noon and night, pestering them with his awkward questions and bewildering them with his dialectic, everything into question. until all therr ideas seemed to be turned upside down; calling into question, always indirectly, and with the most provoking appearance of having reason upon his side, the value of their relıgion, the value of their morality, the value of their political institutions, the value of their professional employments and of their cherished aims in life-the value in short of everything except truth and goodness: for of the value of these things Socrates never doubted, nor allowed others to doubt.

[^3]luble ex. asperation agranst hum.

Human nature being what it is, we need not feel much surprised that the day of reckoning should have come at last. People might have put up with Socrates himself ${ }^{1}$; but, unfortunately, his example had raised a host of imitators. For the young men who had lessure to attend him, and who naturally belonged in the main to the upper classes, had begun to tum against their elders the weapons of negatwe dialectic, which they had learnt to use durng them intercourse with Socrates. This was the thing which brought puble indignation to a climax. There was an outcry raised that the young men were being rumed, and that the person who was ruining them was Socrates. It needed now only that someone should take the initrative in attacking him, for all classes in the community had been annoyed and offended in turn.

Prominent at this time (B.C. 399) anong the leaders of the restored democracy was Anytus, who had fought and suffered in the cause of the people. We need not listen to the scandal of Scholiasts and of late Greek writers, by whom his character has been assailed. It is enough that by the confession of Plato, corroborated by the negative testimony of Xenophon, Anytus was a perfectly respectable person, and in fact a fairly favourable specimen of the democratic statesman. To this man Socrates had unfortunately given offence by saying that it ill became his position in the state to bring up his son to the famly trade of a tanner. Anytus may have been animated to some extent by personal motives: but it is quite intelligible that he conceived himself to be acting on public grounds, and that he sincerely believed Socrates to be a very mischievous person. This conviction is not likely to have been diminished by the fact that the political leanings of Socrates were rather to the arstocratic side, as manifested by a theoretical admiration for the customs and institutions of Sparta ${ }^{2}$. Besides which, Critias, the bloodthirsty inaugurator of the recent reign of terror at Athens, had at one time been prominent among the dis-

[^4]ciples of Socrates, and some of the odium which his memory excited no doubt recoiled upon his former teacher.

Though Anytus was the prime mover in the matter, he Meletus was not the ostensible prosecutor of Socrates, that part being and Lycon played by a young and comparatively obscure man, named Meletus, the son, as it would appear, of a well-known poet of the same name. A third person who took part in the prosecution was Lycon, a rhetorician. Thus the three accusers were representative of the outraged feelings and harassed interests of different classes in the community-Anytus taking up the quarrel of the manufacturers and politicians against Socrates, Lycon that of the rhetoricians, and Meletus that of the poets.
But it is one thing to beleve that a man's influence is mischievous in a community, and quite another to bring home to him a definite charge, which shall suffice to secure his condemnation. How then were his enemes to lay hold of Socrates, the spotless integrity of whose whole career did not seem to offer much handle to an accuser? The following considerations may help us partally to understand this question.

Philosophy up to this period had run wholly in the groove State of of physical inquiry, and, strange to say, had been thoroughly phulosophy mechanical and materialistic in its tendencies, seeking to before explam everything by evolution out of some material elements. We are apt to regard this as the final consummation of philosophy, but it was the first stage among the Greeks, which they outgrew with the advance of thought. It was so stnking a novelty to proclam that mind was necessary to arrange these elements into the organic whole of the universe, that Aristotle tells us that Anaxagoras, or whoever preceded him in doing so, appeared like the only sober man among drunkards'. Nevertheless Anaxagoras himself, who had made his home at Athens, had been indicted for impiety, in declaring the goras for sun to be a material object, and had been obliged to take mpiety. refuge at Lampsacus. Late writers tell us that Socrates had Relation o

[^5]Socrates to been a pupil of Anaxagoras, and, after his condemnation, of Anaxa- his disciple Archelaus, with whom the Ionic school of phygoras stcal philosophy came to a close ${ }^{1}$. We seem to gather however from Plato, that whater er acquantance Socrates may have had with the doctrines of Anaxagoras was derived from reading. He is made to say in the Phaedo that the delight with which he at first hailed the teaching of Anaxagoras gave way subsequently to intense disappointment, when he found him deserting final for physical causes, and proving untrue to his own grand principle. For Socrates imagined he had found in Anaxagoras a guide who would conduct him on a royal road to the knowledge of nature If the universe were really constructed by mind, must it not be constructed in the best manner possible? And surely then the right method of studying nature was to seek to ascertain what was best and why. But Socrates found Anaxagoras, instead of pursuing this method, descanting, like the rest, upon air, fire and water, and in fact confounding the physical condtions with the real causes of phenomena ${ }^{2}$. Accordingly he abandoned Anaxagoras in disgust, and included him m his sweeping condemnation of the physicists geneInfinence rally as little better than madmen ${ }^{3}$. The discourses on of Socrates nature recorded in the Memorabilia ${ }^{4}$ are entirely on the on physical science. lines indicated in the Phaedo. For Socrates did talk occasionally on nature as well as on man, and notwthstanding his disarowal of physical science, he has nevertheless powerfully influenced the world in this department no less than in ethics and in logic, though his influence has been in this case a retarding one. He was the parent of the teleological idea which maintained undisputed sway orer men's minds until Bacon headed a reaction against it, and declared in favour of the pre-Socratics, who had contented themselves The popu- with the 'how' without the 'why.' But the distnction be-

[^6]tween Socrates and the Ionic school, profound as it was in lar confureality, was too subtle for the men who condemned him. sion of him The rough and ready syllogism of the popular judgment ran Physicists thus-
All who talk about nature are atheists.
Socrates talks about nature.
$\therefore$ Socrates is an atheist. rendered possible an indictment for irreligion.
If, as was well known. Socrates claumed to hold communica- His clam tion with some higher power, this only constituted an aggrava- to inspra tion of his offence Here was a man who was ready to believe in anything except what he was expected to believe in !
A prosecution for heresy was no new thing at Athens, as supposed we have seen already from the case of Anaxagoras So far offence. back as the year 43I B C a law had been carried by the Prosecu-


And so it came to pass that the man who above all others novelty in that age and country believed most profoundly in God was brought up before a public tribunal as an atheist. This was the first count in the indictment.

The natural sequel to a charge of irreligion is a charge Charge of of immorality. It was hopeless to fasten any such charge corrupting upon Socrates directly, for the blamelessness of his life was the young. patent to everybody, and so it was represented that his society had a corrupting influence upon the young. This was the second count in the indictment. Such a charge was difficult to meet, while it gave ample room for the play of prejudice. The tyrants of the Oligarchy, who had reason to fear the influence of Socrates upon young and ardent spirts, had shown the way in this direction, in forbidding Socrates to converse with any man under thirty?.
As the first count was one which might have been urged Socrates against any philosopher of the period, so the second was one assumilated which might have been urged against any of the Sophists, sicists on a class of professional teachers who supplied the place of the one

[^7]hand and the Sophists on the other.

Terms of the indictment against him.

Technical name for it
university teachers among the Greeks, and from whom, outwardly at least, Socrates was only distinguished by the fact that he did not receive pay for his services or gıve regular lectures.
Behold then Socrates arraigned on the double charge of irreligion and immorality ${ }^{1}$ The indictment, with that delightful simplicity which so favourably distunguishes Greek from English legal phraseology ${ }^{1}$, was worded thus:-'Aôce $\hat{\imath}$

 Gívaros.
As the offence with which Socrates was charged was not aganst any individual, but against the state, the proper technical term for the proceedings was $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\prime}$, not $\delta i k \eta$, though in a looser sense $\delta i \mathrm{i} \eta$ was used for any legal case, and is in fact the term exclusively employed inthis connection throughout the Apology of Xenophon. It was then a $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in i a s$ which was brought against Socrates.

Prelimı-
nary proceedings
Socrates summoned to appear before the King Archon.

The ${ }^{2} v \alpha$ кррбтs.

We can magine the dismay of Xanthippe when one spring ${ }^{2}$ morning Meletus called at the door accompanied by two witnesses ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \rho s$ ) to serve a summons upon Socrates, citing him to appear before the King Archon. This was the second of the nine archons, who represented the priestly functions of the oniginal patriarchal monarchy, and had jurisdiction over all cases touching relgion. The "Apxal ßacideús might have stopped proceedings, had he been so inclined. As he did not, the indictment was in due course posted up in some public place, and all the city knew that Socrates was to be tried for his life. The first proceedings were still before the King Archon. They were called the àvákports ${ }^{3}$, and consisted in part in the registration under oath of the prosecutor's indictment and the defendant's plea

[^8]in answer to it. This was known as the àrrauotia, or, more correctly, the doworia, and the document itself, which contained the indictment and the plea in reply, was also called $\dot{a} v \tau \omega \mu o t_{i}{ }^{1}$. It is during this preliminary stage of proceedings that we find Socrates in the Euthyphro The diviner of that name is surprised to find him quitting his usual haunts in the Lyceum, and resorting instead to the neighbourhood of the King's Porch.
And now the final stage has been reached. The case is The Court. not tried before the high court of Areiopagus, but before an ordinary dixaatipotov or Helastic Court, consistng of the same mixed elements as the eikג $\overline{\text { goia }}$ Out of the six thousand annually elected סxacrai some five hundred of his felloncitizens are told off to try Socrates; and within the limuts of a single day the temerity of a city mob will dispose of the life of one of the noblest of mankind. It is true that each of them has sworn a solemn oath that he will give an impaitial hearing to prosecutor and defendant, and will not let himself be influenced by considerations extraneous to the case ${ }^{2}$ : but this will scarcely avall to supply him with an enlightened mind and a calm judgment.
The time assigned for the trial is divided into three Divsion of lengths, which are measured by the $k$ кequivpa, or water-clock. the time The first of these lengths will be occupied by the speeches assigned for the tral. of the prosecutors, the second by the defence of the accused and the pleadngs of his advocates (rvuryópou), if he has any. After the speeches have been listened to, as far as tumultuous interruptions will allow, the jurors will declare ther vote by secret ballot, and if the perforated balls ( $\psi i \phi \phi o t$ ) Method of exceed the solid ones, Socrates will be condemned. Then voting. the third length of time will be devoted to estimating the amount and knnd of penalty that has been deserved ${ }^{3}$. For The case
 which it is left to the court to fix the penalty, instead of its ${ }^{\text {T }}$ T ros.

[^9]being fixed beforehand by law, as in a $\delta i x \eta$ atiunvos, which required no assessment. Accordingly the prosecutor will speak again in favour of the penalty he has already named, and the convicted man will be allowed to plead for a diminution of it. The jurors will then decide between them, and the legitumate proceedings of the tral will be over If the prisoner is allowed to address the count further, it will be by an act of grace.
Firstlength Meletus opens the case for the prosecution, advancing to of the day Speeches for the prosecution. the raised platform ( $\beta \bar{\eta} \mu n$ ), from which the speakers addressed the court He is followed by Lycon and Anytus, the latter of whom uses his influence to impress upon the minds of the jurors the danger of acquitting Socrates, now that proceedings have been allowed to be taken against him. For his acquittal would be such a triumph, and would give such an impetus to the fashon of imitating him, that the rising generation would be irretrievably ruined.

Our knowledge does not enable us to discriminate between the parts played by the varous accusers, nor indeed to realise in any satisfactory manner on what lines the case for the prosecution was conducted. All that we can do is to put down a few points which we know to have been urged. We have seen already that there were two main counts in the indictment,
First (I) Irreligion.
Count. (2) Immoral influence.
With regard to the first count Socrates professes himself in doubt as to whether the accusers meant that he did not believe in gods at all, or only that he beleved in different gods from those which were recogmsed by the city. This is a doubt which we must be content to share. If the remark addressed to the jurors by Meletus, about Socrates saying that the sun was a stone and the moon earth, is not a mere invention of Plato's, we may suppose that to some extent a line was followed similar to the gross mis-representation of the Clouds, in which Socrates is represented as having dethroned Zeus, and made 'Vortex' reign in his stead. But the main stress of the indictment, as is evident
from the terms of it, must have fallen rather upon the impiety of which Socrates was supposed to be guilty, in exaltung his private and personal source of inspiration over the public worship of his country. He was declared to be a daring innovator in religion, who held the time-honoured gods in contempt ${ }^{1}$.

He would be a bold man who would undertake to say Difficulty what Socrates really thought about Zeus and Hera, and the rest of the recognised deities of Greece. On the one hand the great philosopher was what would now-a-days be con- of Socrates sidered a very superstitious person. To say nothing of his about reliinward monitor, he was ready to act on the strength of gion. freams, and had a robust faith in oracles, especially that of Delphi-a faith which could even survive the shock consequent upon his being told that he was the wisest of men. On the other hand we find in Xenophon clear expressions of a belief in one Supreme Being, the author and controller of the whole universe ${ }^{2}$, which yet is held concurrently with a recognition of the many gods of Paganism, insomuch that monothestic and polytheistic phraseology are mixed up in the same sentence.
A passage in the Phaedrus is interesting as bearing upon this subject. In reply to a question put by Phaedrus, as to what he thought of the story of Boreas and Oreithyia, Socrates declares that it would be easy enough for hin to say with the clever that the girl was blown over a cliff by a gust of wind. But then logical consistency would require a similar rationalisation of innumerable other legends. He really had not time for a task of such appalling magnitude, and preferred to acquiesce in the current acceptance of the myths as they stood. There were mysteries enough in his own being fully to occupy all his attention ${ }^{3}$. Where, however, these myths ran counter to his notions of moralityand it was seldom that they did not-Socrates felt an ex-

[^10]treme repugnance to them. It is hinted in the Euthyphro ${ }^{1}$ that this fact may have had something to do with his indictment for impiety.

His practical conformity with the religion of his coun. try.

Second Count.
Charge of immoral influence Special points arged.

But whatever the opinions of Socrates may have been, there is no doubt at all about his practice. Accepting the principle laid down by the Delphic oracle ${ }^{2}$, he thought it the part of a good cituen to conform to the religion of his country; and was scrupulous in so doing both in public and private life, holding a low opinion of those who did otherwise ${ }^{3}$ Everyone will remember his last words to Crito, charging him to sacrifice a cock to Aesculapius.
Under the second count of the indictment it was urged that Socrates ridiculed the institutions of his country, declanng that it was absurd to elect magistrates by lot, when no one would care to entrust his life at sea to a pilot who had been chosen by that method Such discourses, it was asserted, made the young men feel a contempt for the established constitution, and incited them to violence ${ }^{4}$. In proof of this pernicious influence it was pointed out how Critias and Alcibiades had been educated under Socrates ${ }^{5}$.
Further it was maintained that Socrates inculcated disrespect to parents and relations generally by pointing out that mere goodwill was useless without knowledge. One did not consult one's relations in case of sickness or of legal difficulties, but the doctor or lawyer. The effect of such teaching, it was declared, was to make the associates of Socrates look so entirely to him, that no one else had any influence with them ${ }^{\text {i }}$. In the Apology of Xenophon this charge is specially ascribed to Meletus.
The only other point which we know to have been urged against Socrates was that he inculcated depravity by means of garbled citations from the poets ${ }^{7}$-that he quoted Hesiod's line ${ }^{8}$,

[^11]
and drew from it the lesson that a man ought to be a navoûpyos, or scamp who would do anything for gain; again that he was fond of quoting Homer ${ }^{1}$ to show the different treatment meted out by Ulysses to the chiefs and the common people, drawing therefrom the inference that it was desirable to maltreat the humbler ctizens This is plainly nothing but an appeal to the passions of the mob. Xenophon stops the quotation just short of the famous sentiment,

## 

of which Theophrastus says that it is the one line in Homer which 'the oligarchical man' is acquainted with. The political animus underlying so frivolous a charge is made even more transparent by Xenophon's reply. Xenophon is rather hard put to it to prove Socrates a good citizen from a democratic point of view ${ }^{2}$. He finds proof of this in the fact that Socrates never charged anyone a fee for conversing with him.

When the prosecutors had completed their indictment the first of the three lengths into which the juridical day was divided was at a close.
The water is now turned on for the defendant and his Second advocates. We gather from a passing expression in Xeno- length of phon ${ }^{3}$ that Socrates had freends who spoke in his favour, the day. but we know nothing of what they said. so that for us the second length is occupied solely by Socrates' own defence of himself.

This defence was really made impromptu: for Socrates Socrates' had twice been checked by his inward monitor when he defence endeavoured to prepare a reply beforehand ${ }^{4}$. The Apology ${ }^{\text {really im- }}$ of Plato, however, is marked by the same artistic grace which characterises all his work. It is elaborately constructed on Elaborate

[^12]construction of Plato's Apology. Its divisions

Imitation of forensic forms.
demnation of Socrates.
the forensic type, of which it is at once a parody and a crit1cism. It is divided into three parts, of which the first only constitutes the defence proper. The second is the duriti$\mu \eta \sigma t s$, or counter-assessment of the penalty, and belongs to the third length of the juridical day. The third part consists of some last words addressed by the prisoner to the court after his conviction. It is not necessary here to enter into details with regard to the contents of these several parts. The reader will find a scheme of the speech prefixed to the text and a detailed analysis interwoven with 1 . Suffice it to say that the subduvisions of the defence are completely in accordance with rhetorical precedent. The citation of witnesses is also imitated ${ }^{1}$, a proceeding durng which the water was stopped, and even the common rhetorical challenge to opponents is reproduced, to bring forward witnesses, if they can, during the time allotted to the speaker ${ }^{2}$. In place of the usual impassioned peroration, Socrates substitutes a dignified refusal to throw himself in any way upon the meicy of his judges.
When the pleadings in defence were concluded, the court proceeded to give their verdict, and condemned Socrates by 28 I votes against 220 . Considering the long and deeplyrooted prejudice which existed agannst Socrates at Athens, we can well believe that many honest and gnorant men among the dicasts went home to therr suppers that day with the comfortable assurance that they had conscientiously discharged their duty as good citizens. There is no doubt, however, but that to some extent the verdict was influenced by irritation at the unaccustomed tone adopted by the defendant, who addressed his judges, as Cicero says ${ }^{8}$, not as a suppliant or prisoner, but as a teacher or master.
The third length of the day was begun by a speech on the part of the prosecution in advocacy of the death-penalty. Then Socrates rose to present his estimate of the treatment he deserved to suffer, which was support for the rest of his days in the Prytaneum. If the judges had been annoyed before,

[^13]they were utterly exasperated now, and the death-penalty Ratificawas confirmed by eighty additional votes ${ }^{1}$. tion of the
After the informal delivery of a short address by the condemned prisoner to the court nothing remained but for the deathpenalty. officer of the Eleven to lead off Socrates to the adjacent pison, where the dialogue of the Phaedo again takes him up. And so that crime was committed, which, owing to the lustre of its victim, has left a lasting stain upon the name of Athens-the one city in all the Hellenic world which had most reason to pride itself upon its tolerance.

It has been remarked that the Platonic Apology resembles Comin a certan respect the famous speech of Demosthenes on the Crown, namely, that in both the formal answer to the bet indictment is thrown into the middle, and extraneous Apology matters, which are more vital to the real issue, are brought and the to the front, and again insisted upon at the close. We have speech of the key to this treatment in the words put into Socrates' sthenes on mouth by Plato, that it is not Meletus or Anytus he has to the Crown. fear, but the prejudice and envy of the multitude ${ }^{2}$. Ac- Careless cordingly we find the actual indictment treated so carelessly treatment by Socrates that in his catation of it the order of the counts in the is reversed, and the charge of perverting the youth is dealt of the with before the charge of irreligion. The latter accusation technical indeed is never really answered at all-and rightly so, for if indictment. Socrates' life was not an answer to 1 t, any other must have been felt to be idle and derogatory.

Few will deny that the Platonic Apology is in every way How far worthy of the occasion and the man. How far it represents can Plato's the actual words of Socrates before his judges is a question Apology be conwhich it would be vain to argue a priori, by an appeal to the sidered general fitness of things. But the historical method can to historical ? a certain extent be applied here. Reference has already The Apobeen made to the Apology of Xenophon-a little work which logy of it is the fashion to set down as a forgery, because there is Xenophon. scarcely anything in it which is not also contained in the Memorabilia : as if it were in any way improbable that a

[^14]writer should cast the same matter at different times into slighthly different moulds, or that even a rejected sketch, supposing it to be such, by an author so highly esteemed as Xenophon should have been carefully preserved.

Xenophon's authorty for his version of Socrates' speech.

Xenophon himself returned fiom the expedition which has immortalised his name just too late to support his revered master on his trial ; but he derived his information with regard to the closing scenes of Socrates' life from Hermogenes, the son of Hipponicus and brother of the wealthy Callias ${ }^{1}$. Hermogenes was an attached friend of Socrates, and is mentioned in the Phaedo as having been present at his death.

Analysis of Xenophon's Apolog.

To turn from Plato to Xenophon is indeed a fall ! The Socrates of the latter is so prosy and self-complacent that we cannot wonder if he arrtated his judges. The whole 1 m pression produced on the mind by the prece is different from that with which one rises from Plato's Apology ; and yet, on examining into details, one is surprised to find what resemblances it offers. The amount both of resemblance and difference will be manifest from a brief analysis of its contents.
The Apology of Xenophon then falls into the same three parts as that of Plato-
I. The Defence proper.
II. The Counter-assessment.
III. The Last Words.
I. The Defence proper, which grapples directly with the terms of the indictment, is sub-divided into two parts, in which the counts are taken in the accuser's order, dealing
(I) with the charge of irreligion;
(2) with the charge of immorality.
(I) The charge of downight irreligion is met by Socrates by an appeal to his habitual conformity with the public worship of his country ; and the secondary one of innovation in religious matters by his assimilating the סaunóvıo to divination generally. Under this head Socrates takes occasion

[^15]to vaunt of his prophetic powers, as a proof of the farour in which he is held by the gods; and then tells the story of Chaerephon consulting the oracle about him ${ }^{1}$. The reply of the oracle, as here given, is that there is no one more free, just or temperate than Socrates-a clam which the defendant then proceeds to vindicate in detail by extolling his own vintue under each head
(2) The refutation of the second count takes the form of a dialogue with Meletus ${ }^{2}$. Socrates challenges his accuser to produce a single person who has been demoralised by his society ${ }^{3}$. The special charge of inculcating disrespect to parents, which was prompted by jealousy of Socrates' influence, is met by his claming to be an expert on the subject of education, as much as a doctor was on meducine.

II The Counter-assessment, it must be confessed, is like the famous chapter on snakes in Iceland. The proposal about the Prytaneum is absent, and we are told that Socrates neither suggested any diminution of the penalty himself nor allowed his friends to do so. It would seem, however, that he must have spoken a few words at this stage of the proceedings, in order to explain the grounds of his refusal to take the usual course, which were that he considered it tantamount to pleading guilty.
III. In the Last Words Socrates refers to perjury on the part of the witnesses against him, dwells on the wickedness of his accusers ${ }^{4}$, and denies that the case is proven against him. He has not attempted to dethrone Zeus and Hera, nor corrupted the young, but set them a wholesome example of plain living. He comforts himself by the case of Palamedes ${ }^{5}$, and ends by declaring that all time will witness to his righteousness.

The Apology of Xenophon does not claim to be an ex- Xenohaustive report of the defence of Socrates. Even at the phon's date of its composition what Socrates really said was matter Apology

| ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Plat. Apol. 21. | ${ }^{2}$ Cp. Plat. Apol. $24-27$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ Cp. Plat. Apol. 33 D-34 C. |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Cp. 39 B. | ${ }^{3}$ Cp. 41 B. |

does not for critical investigation. The author of it tells us that clamm to be others had written on the same subject, and as all agreed exhaustrre. Other about the high tone ( $\mu$ күаiдypoia) adopted by Socrates, he Apologies. presumes that this was characteristcic of the real defence. Among these 'others'' Plato may be included, as Xenophon and he seem to have entered into a tacit agreement to ignore one another ${ }^{1}$.
The story is well known how the great orator Lysias presented Socrates wth a speech admirably adapted to concilate the favour of his judges, which was admired by Socrates, but declined with thanks on the ground that it would be as inappropriate to him as fine shoes or cloaks ${ }^{2}$. On the other hand the sophist and rhetorician Polycrates, after the death of Socrates, composed an accusation aganst him, which was mistaken subsequently for the real speech delisered at the tral ${ }^{3}$.
Even after the generation which witnessed the trial of Socrates had passed away, echoes of the event sttll rang on the air, and men exercised therr wits in composing his apology. Theodectes, the friend of Arstotle, and a famous orator and dramatic writer of his day, composed an apology of Socrates ${ }^{4}$; as also did Demetrus Phalereus, the accomplished discriple of Theophrastus ${ }^{5}$.
Date of the To return now to Plato's Apology-the date of its compoApology stition is a question which we have no means of determining. indeterminable. Itsaffinttres As to its affinities with other works of Plato, it presents a superficial resemblance to the Menexenus and a real resemblance to the Gorgias.
with other
In the Menexenus, as in the Apology, Plato has given a specimen of what he might have done in the way of
${ }^{1}$ The name of Plato is only once mentioned by Xenophon, namely in Mem in 6, § $x$; that of Xenophon by Plato never. This slence was ascribed by the ancients to jealousy. See on this subject Athen. al 504 e-505 b, D 10 Laert. 1. § 34
${ }^{2}$ Cic. de Oratore, i 54 ; Val Max vi. 4, Extern 2 ; Quant. in. 15, § $30 ; 111, \S 11 ;$ Diog. Laert. 11. § $4^{\circ}$
${ }^{s}$ Quunt. ii. I7. § 4 ; m. I, § II, Diog Laert. in. § 38.
${ }^{4}$ Arist Rhet ii $23, \S$ I3. ${ }^{5}$ Diog. Laert. ix. $£\{37,57$.
rhetoric, had he cared to desert his favourite dalectic. The The ApoApology reflects, while it exalts, the pleadngs of the law. logy comcourts; the Menexenus in like manner imitates the funeral pared with orations which formed an important feature in public life at enus. Athens. But in the Menexenus we have a speech wthin a dalogue; while in the Apology we have a dalogue within a speech.

In the Gorgias we have the same sharp contrast drawn The Apobetween the norld's way and the way of philosophy. The logy comGorgias contains the prophecy of which the Apology is the pared fulfilment. In that dialogue Callicles, the man of the woild, Gith the warns Socrates with contemptuous good-nature, that if he persists in continuing into mature age the study of philosophy, which is becoming enough in youth, he will unfit himself for converse with mankind, and, owing to his neglect of the rhetoric of the law-courts, will lay himself at the meicy of the meanest accuser who may choose to bring against him a capital charge ${ }^{1}$. Socrates admits that this may very possibly be the case. but contends that it is quite a secondary consideration, the first requisite for man's true welfare being to avoid committing injustice, the second only to escape suffering it. He contends that, in pursuing his appointed calling of philosophy, he is the only real politician of his time, since his words are not meant to give men pleasure, but to do them good. As this object necessarily involves his saying a great many disagreeable things, he is no more likely to fare well in a law-court than a doctor would be likely to come off trumphant, if tried before a jury of children, at the instance of the pastry-cook.

If it be permissible to add one more suggestion to the Motive many conflictung views that have been held as to the main of the object of the Gorgias, we might say that in the following Gorgias. words, more than in any other, we have an embodiment of Plato's motive in composing that dialogue-ei $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ кодakı $\hat{\eta} s$



[^16]The Gorgias is an earnest defence of that uncompromising spirit which rendered it impossible for Socrates to conciliate his judges at the expense of truth, which made him prefer 'to die as Socrates than to live as Lysias,' which prompted him to forego the remander of his life rather than sully the past, and, at the cost of a few short years of decaying faculthes, to purchase a life which has trumphed over time.
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## St. I. <br> p. 17 . <br> АПОАОГIA $\Sigma \Omega K$ PATOYะ.

## I. THE DEFENCE PROPER.

1. The Exordium, 17A-18A.

Do not be musled by the assertion of my accusers that I am skelled m speech. On the contrary I must ask you to pardon the manner of my defence, whbch is due to inexperience.





































## 2. The Statement, 18 A-19 A.

There are two classes of accusers, those who have maligned me all my life, and those who nowv indict me. Both must be anssuered, and the time is short: but let the law be obeyed.









































## 3. The Refutation, $18 \mathrm{~A}-28 \mathrm{~A}$.

(a) Deferce against vague popular prejudice.

I am no scizntific atheist. nor do I educate men for money. Happy be cubso for the sum of $£ 20$ or so can impart the sceence of living avell!
 brought by popular prejudice formulated.










Refutation of it .















 Sophists.

























'Then bow bave you got your extraordnary reputation, Socrates?' If I an reported ause, it is osuing to the response awbich Apollo gave to Ckaerephon.



















 of the Pythan oracle with regard to Socrates.












When I beard the oracle from Delphi, I proceeded to test its truth by comparing myself wuth others. First I tred the politictans, and found that they were not awware of their own grnorance, whbereas I knew mine.


























 $\dot{a} \pi \eta \chi$ Өо́ $\mu \eta \eta^{2}$.

Next I examined the poets, and found that they could give no intelligible account of their own productions.































Lastly I went to the artisans. They undoubtedly possessed great techncal skell, but this only served to indpire a concett of their own knowuledge on subjects of the deepest importance.















These inquiries bave led to many ennitites, and planged me in poverty, as I bave bad no time to attend to my private affairs.



 éxáotore of tapóvies raûta aùrdv eivau ooфóv, à àv













 גатреíav.

Moreover the young men took delight in bearing my cross-examnation of those who pretended to knowledge, and began to imitate me themselves. Hence their vuctims in a blind rage levelled at me the charges which are brought against all philosophers. These are the real grounds for the present prosecution.

Evasperation caused by the young men imitatug Socrates.































(b) Defence against the specific indictment, 24B-28 A.

It is now tume to turn to Meletus and bis indictment. He is gulty of trifing on a serious matter.
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(2) Athersm


 serrousness.






You profess a care for the youth, Meletus, and say that I corrupt them. Who then improves them? 'The jurors, audience, everyone?' Then I alone corrupt them' But that is absurd.
 24C-28 A.


of perverting the youth met, 24 C-26A.


 єīnè кai $\mu \mathfrak{\eta}$




 тoûro diôe, rò̀s vóuous. Oîtou, î इ́úkpares, oi òzka-
























 єïáyess.

Again, am I so foolisb, Meletus, as to weish to live among bad fellow-citizens? Nol The barm that I do must be involuntary. And whby bring me to trial for an involuntary act?















 тша $\mu$ охӨпро̀̀ пой









 $\mu \in ́ v o u s, a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’$ ov̉ $\mu a \theta \neq \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.
' You are an atbeist, Socrates. You say tbat the sun is a stone, and the moon eartb.' As if everyone did not know that tbese are the doctrnes of Anaxagoras, not mine! The accusation is not only false, but self-contraductory.









































For Meletus allows that I believe in סanuóva. Therefore I beheve in סaipoves; and, if in סaiuoves, then in $\theta$ eoi. Thus Melttus is conveted out of bis oun mouth.






































## 4. The Digression-A defence by Socrates of his life generally, 28 A-34 B.

This is enough in reply to Meletus. It is not bis accusation I bave to fear, but the force of popular prejudtce.









But I may be asked-'Is it not a disgrace, Socrates, to bave acted in such a way that you are in danger of death?' No. A man's first object should not be to secure bus lofe, but to do bis duty.








 Example of кai ó rî̧ @étrioos viós, ôs toovîtov tov̂ klvơṽyov кatєAchilles.
















I bave kept my post under eartbly commanders; I will keep it zuder the beavenly. For to dread death more than disloyalty is to assume a krowledge wubtch we do not possess. So that if you weve to offer me my life now on condition of my abandonng pbilosopby, I suould refuse with all respect. Nay, as long as I bad any breath in my body, I would contrnue my misston to young and old.







































The dally conversation of Socrate.





























Hear me patently, Atbenians; for it will do you good. If you put me to death, you will be unjuring yourselves more than me, and fiying in the face of Heaven. Your wwill not easly find another to awake you from the slumber of self-complacency. Have I not sacrificed all in your service?














































That I bave not addressed you in puble is due to the divine sign, wobich bas deterred me from a course wbicb could only end in my destruction.

 to politics,


















 ònuoбievév.

When I bave acted in a public capacity, th bas been at the risk of my life. I maintained the right in the teeth of the Democracy, and again of the Thurt) Iyrants.



















Socrates to assist in the 7 arrest of Leon.














 то入入oì $\mu$ áprupes.

Could I bave survized to this age, if I bad attempted a public career, actung, as I sbould bave done on these principles? For neither in public nor in private bave I ever swerved from the right, nor cannived at such conduct in otbers. Ibave never recerved pay for speaking, nor selkcted my audience, and I cannot be beld responsible for the conduct of those who may baze chanced to listen to me.






















The young men, I confess, take pleasure in bearing me examine pretenders to 'iusdom: but this wuth me is a divine massion. If I am the corrupter of youth, quby are not wutnesses brougbt to prove it from among my crrcle of assoctates? Why are the friends of those I bave corrupted-men of mature age and establusbed character-bere to defend me?







Divine mission of Socrates.












The companions of Socrates.

























## 5. The Peroration, 34 B-35 D.

Some of you mugbt perbaps be inclined to judge me barsbly, because I bave not brought forward my children, and appealed to the court for mercy. Such appeals seem to me to be uncworthy of a man, and still more unworthy of the State.

 foon, $\chi$.









































Besides at is not right for you to listen to appeals. It is your business to be just. If I tried to make you vote agannst your consiences, I sbould deserve the name of atbeist.
 nght
















 ăpıota eival kai ípiv.
(The votes are given, and Socrates is condemned.)

## II. THE COUNTER-ASSESSMENT.

The majorty against me as small. It is well for Meletus that be bad the support of Anytus and Lycon, else be woould bave bad to pay the fine.













The fenalty is fixed at death. W'but aliernative do I propose? If justre cuere really to be done to me, I sbould be supported at the public expense.

His proposal that he should be maintained free of expense in the Prytaneum.





























Do not think me insolent. But I cannot adnit that I am deserving of evel. Noww mprisomment and exile are certannly evils, whereas death may be a good. I wull not therefore prefer eatber of the former. To go into exile would be merely to invite elsewbere the same treatment that I bave met wath here.



































'Well, can you not go asway and be silent' No: that would be to dischey the divine command, little as you may belleve me when I say it. A money fne I bave no objection to, for that is no evzl. Perbapts I could manage to pay you a mina of sulver. My friends bere tell me to say tbrrty minae, and offer themselves as batl.














but is willing to pay a fine,







 à̧óxpєч.
(The penalty is fixed at death.)

## III. THE LAST WORDS, $38 \mathrm{C}-42 \mathrm{~A}$.

Ltttle bave you gained, Atbenians, and great wull be your loss. I could not bave lived long, but now you woll bave the credit of having kelled me. No defence but that which I adopted awould bave been worthy of myself. I bave notbing to regret. It is my accusers who are the real sufferers.
Ov่ пo
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Listen' For I am at the point wben men are wont to prophesy. You will suffer for my condemnation. Others, whom I have beld in check, will come forward to test your lives, and you will not be able to get rid of them.

















 Е גа́ттоцаи.

To you aubo bave acquitted me I woould fain say a feww words, ere I go bence. I infer that death is no evil. . for the divine sign never came to binder the tbroughout the whole course of the trial.
























 à yatôv прágelv.

Nay, there is much reason to bope that death is actually a good. For death is either a dreamless sleep, which is better than the average experiences of lyfe, or else it is a nugration to a place swbere zwe shall be able to meet and converse wivth the famous dead-and what can be better than tbws?


either anmhilation or a happy change.






































 Sisyphus.




 $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ є̀ $\sigma \tau i ้ \nu$.

One thing is certain. No evel can bappen to a good man in thes world or the next. What has befallen me has not taken place sutbout the devme sanctunn; and I bear no ill-will agamst my accusers. Only I beg of them to deal suth my sons as fartbfully as I bave dealt wuith them. And now we part on our several ways - which is the better, God only knows.
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## NOTES.

 passive verb, and is regularly constructed as such. See for instance



v่๘' au่rûv] 'By reason of them,' 'under their influence.' For
 Ion 535 E


©s énos $\epsilon$ ineiv] 'To put it roughly' One of the many modes which Attic politeness prompted of apologizing for a strong assertion. Cp 22 B, D

 common in Plato, e. g Theaet. r6r B, ò tavpá̧o roû éraipov oov.

 about Socrates tristing everyone ound his finger in discussion Socrates, hihe Berkeley, had the reputation of being invincible in argument
Xpí] In indrect quotations after ötı and $\dot{\omega}$, the tense of the direct discourse is always retained in the indirect. The mood also is always retaned after primary, and may be retained after historical tenses; otherwise it is changed into the optative, so that we might here have $\chi p e i ́ \eta$. See Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, $\S 6 y$. It follows that the readıng $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\eta}$, which is supported by good MSS, is not the indrect equivalent of $\chi p \eta$, but would imply a belef on the part of the speakers that the judges were not likely to evercise due caution.
" $¢ \mathrm{p} \varphi$ ] 'In the most practical way' There is a suppressed B antithesis of $\lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varphi$,
ci $\mu \hat{\prime}^{\prime} v$ ] Here we have an instance of the use of $\mu \mu^{\prime} \nu$ without any contrasted clause following. Cp. 26 E ; Meno 82 B, 89 C. We
 especially Xen Cony. IV. §\$ $56-6$.
APOLOGY, NOTES I7 B-D.
 than they.' This is an instance of the figure menoses or litotes, which consists in saying less than is meant. It abounds in Plato, being characteristic of the eiparvia of Socrates For the special use of katá in the sense of ' on a level with,' cp Gorg. $512 \mathrm{~B}, \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ бou


$\left.\mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta i^{\prime}\right]$ The accusative after adverbs of swearing is a use which it would not be ensy to classify. Notice that $\nu \dot{\prime}$ is used in affirma. tive, but $\mu \dot{d}$ in negative oaths, except where vaí precedes it
fímaor $\tau \in$ кai óvópactv] 'Expressions and words' The distunction between these two terms is a somewhat fluctuating one In the Cratylus ( $399 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ) we are told that $\Delta i \grave{i}$ pinos is a $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} a$, but that the omission of one of the iotas and the suppression of the acute accent in the middle converts it into an ovvoua, $\Delta i \phi i \lambda o s$. In the strict grammatical sense övo $\alpha a$ and $\dot{f} \tilde{\eta} \mu a$ are the two parts of which a रóros or proposition consists, ôvo $\mu a$ being noun and $\rho \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ verb

 its simplest form consists of the combrnation of one oैvoua and one

 strative pronouns, öde, oủros and $\mathfrak{e} \kappa$ ềvos, with thei derivatives correspond roughly to the three personal pronouns, $\mu \epsilon, \sigma \epsilon, \mathcal{\varepsilon}$. Thus
 are meant

 quarter'
 used of the table of a money-dealer, and hence came to mean a bank and $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \xi i \not T \eta s$ a banker, as in the speech of Demosthenes against Phormio. Cp. Matt. xil. 12; Mark x1 15 ; John 11 I5-Tàs $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon$ Gas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ko $\lambda \lambda \nu \beta 1 \sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$. The money-changer sitting at his table in the market-place is still a familiar sight in the smaller towns of the east of Earope. To discourse 'at the counters in the marketplace' was not pecular to Socrates. Hipp Min. 368 B.
D $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \theta a v \mu \dot{L}$ fetv $\kappa . \tau \lambda]$ This is epexegetical, i e. explanatory, of

 an objection to the indictment, since Socrates' mode of life had escaped censure for so many years


$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } 17 D-18 B .
$$

to mounting the $\beta \bar{\eta} \mu a$, or rased platform from which the speeche, were delvered. $\mathrm{Cp} 31 \mathrm{C}, 33 \mathrm{D}, 36 \mathrm{~A}, 40 \mathrm{~B}$. Sumalarly with
 dants eigiéval. Speakers are sadd dyaßaiveiv (to step up, kataBaively (to step down)
 to talk of himself as being 70 ycars old According to the statement of Apollodorus, confirmed by Demetrius Phalereus (Diog. Laert. II §44) Socrates was born in the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of the $77^{\text {th }}$ Olympiad, and dred in the first year of the 9 gth Olympiad. The date of the fist Olymplad beng be 776 , this corresponds to E C. $468-309$, which would make Socrates 69 at the tıme of his death. Another
 place the brth of Socrates a few years earlier than is done by Apollodorus.

$$
\text { Sixavov] 'As a prece of justice' Riddell. } \ldots 18 \mathrm{~A}
$$

aüty àpetí] ápetin 1 sshown to be predicate by the omission of the artucle. The subject aivi is attracted into its gender
 defence' By a common Greek idiom that is expressed personally which, in Latin or English, would be expressed mpersonally. Instances abound, e g Crito 45 A ad in., Gorg. 46 I D, 521 A ;
 thenes agannst Aristocrates, p. 64I, § $6_{4}$, Dindorf furnishes us wih
 pare the preference of the Greek for personal forms of expression in

i $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] The genitive is governed by the verbal notion contaned in B кат

 under the government of $\lambda$ éyoytes as an accusative of duration of time.

It was $2_{4}$ years since the first representation of the Clouds of Aristophanes (B.C. 423 )
Toves ápфl "Avvtov] 'Anytas and his coadjutors' This form of expression includes as the principal the person whose name is mentioned. It is as old as Homer. See for instance Il. IV 252. Cp.
 Anytus was by far the most important of the three accusers of Socrates. Hence the 'Anytrque reum' of Horace (Sat II. iv. 3'. See note on 23 E, "Avyros
 you and in accusang me.' The $\mu$ àd $\lambda_{0}$ umplies that the greater
urgency of the former set of acuisers was a teason for their being more formidable. In Hermann's cdition these woids are placed in brachets.

Td $\tau \varepsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho a]$ The accusative is governed by the verbal substantive фpouriotijs. So in Latin, Plaut. Aul 420, 'sed quid tibi nos tactiost?' Caesar, Bell. Gall I 5 , 'domum reditionis.'
For the sabject-matter see notes on $19 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$.
C oi yàp ákoiovies $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{T} \lambda$.] Here we have in an early stage the antagonism between science and theology-between the science which looks only at physical causes and the theology which delights to trace the action of Deity in aberration from general law.
 $24 \mathrm{~B}, 35 \mathrm{D}$; Prot. $322 \mathrm{~A}, \delta$ á ävpamos . . . Ş̣́av hóvov $\theta$ eoìs $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{0} \mu \mu \sigma \epsilon$, with which cp . Menex ${ }^{237}$ D This use of $\nu 0 \mu \dot{\prime} \xi \epsilon i v i s$ very common. $\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a u$ is employed in a similar way. See below 27 D , E, 35 D ; and cp. Eur Hec. 800 ,

 cally to conrect the preceding one, raîes ôves. 'When you were childien-though some of you may have been striplings,
 Sium is a technical term for a surt which goes by default owing to the non appearance of one of the parties.


D $\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \mathrm{v}$ Ei rss ] Like Latin mest si quis. Eit tis is 'anyone who,' $\epsilon i$ Tl, ‘anything which,' etc
${ }^{\kappa \omega \mu \mu \delta<о \pi o t o s] ~ N o t a b l y ~ A u s t o p h a n e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ C l o u d s ~ E u p o l i s ~}$ also had udiculed him as a beggarly gossip : -


 (Menneke vol. II. p. 553, Berlin, I839). The Connus of Ameipsias too, which was represented along with the Clouds, may have contained ridicale of Socrates; for the chorus was of Phrontistae (Athen. $218 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$, and Connus, the son of Metiobius is represented as having taught Socrates music in his old age (Euthyd. 272 C , Menex 235 E ). See Menneke vol. I. p. 203. We may add that Amenpsias certanly held up Socrates to ridicule in his play of the Tpípay or Old Cloak \{Diog Laert. II. § 48):-
oi $\mathbf{\delta e x}$ kai aùroi k, r. $\mathrm{\lambda}$.] A parenthetical clause corrective of the preceding, like the one noticed abote, 18 C , द̈vot $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к.т. $\lambda$. Translate, 'though some of them may have been convinced themselves when they tred to convince others.'
a $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 'avá ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta \kappa, \tau \lambda$ ] • But one has absolutely to hglat with shadows, as it were, in conductung his defence and cross-questioning.'
кai үàp iucis] 'For you also.' The kai has here its full force, $\mathbf{E}$ so that the expression is equivalent to kaì ràp kai. Cp. Meno 97


סLaßohịv 'Calumny belleved, i.e. prejudice' Riddell. Cp. 2810 A $A$, and 37 B.
 time of this prejudice which you have had so long to acquire' The aorist $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma x \in \tau \in$ belongs to the class which is known as 'aorist of first attanment,' like ' $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon l \sigma \epsilon$, 'he became king,' $\overline{j p \xi \epsilon}$, 'he began to relgn' We have the perfect " $\epsilon \chi \chi \eta \alpha a$ in the same sense below, 20 D .
єi $\tau$ ă äutvov] Supply eil $\eta$.
кaì ov่ mávv к.r. $\lambda$ 」 'And am far from being deceived as to the nature of it.' Oú máve often practically has the meaning of ' not at all,' ominino non, but this is arnved at by an uronical litotes, as its literal meaning is always non omnnno, 'not quite,' 'not much,' 'hardly;' etc. See the subject exhaustrvely discussed in Appendix, note C, to Cope's translation of the Gorgias; see also Riddell, Digest § 139 , and Thompson, Gorgias, note on 457 E . The passages cted by the last-mentioned writer in favour of taking oi $\pi \dot{a} \eta v$ as an unqualified negation seem to lend themselves readily to the other interpretation, e. g. the passage quoted from Arstotle, Eth Nic. X. (5).
 in doing anything else.' The strongest of them is Laws 704 C , where ov $\pi d i v y$ is nsed in answer to a question, to convey an emphatic denial; bat even this is sufficiently accounted for by the anveterate sipareia of the Attic diction.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \oplus ิ]$ We may render this smply ' God.' There has been no reference to Apollo or any special delty

Mé $\lambda_{\eta}$ ros] The son of Meletas and a menber of the deme Pitthis $\mathbf{B}$ (Diog Laert. II §40). He is ieferred to in the Euthyphro, 2 B, as a young and obscure man; and is described as having long straight hair, not much beard, and a hooked nose. The Scholiast informs us that he was a bad tragic poet, and a Thracian by extraction. We learn from 23 E that he posed as the representative of the poets in the attack on Socrates. Six jears before this date, at the time when the Frogs was produced (B.C. 405), a poet named Meletus possessed
notonety enough to attract the attachs of Aristophanes. In that play. Acschylus is made to charge Eurpides with imitating the $\sigma$ ródea of Mcletus Frogs 1302, Dindorf) Meletus also, we are told, was mentioned by Arstophanes in the $\Gamma$ eopyoí, which is known to have been represented considerably earler Unless Plato has greatly exaggerated the youth and obscurity of Meletus, we may suppose the poet referred to by Arstophanes to have been the father of Socrates' accuser This would account sufficiently for his taking up the quarrel of the poets One of the four men who arrested Leon of Salamis see below 32 C , was named Meletus (Andocides, de Mysteris, $\S 94^{\prime}$ Diogenes Laertuus (II. § $43^{\prime}$, declares that when the Athenians repented of their treatment of Socrates, they condemned Meletus to death. Diodorus XIV. 37 ad fin.) goes so far as to say that the accusers were executed in a body But there is no valid evidence to show that this change of sentment ever really occurred in the minds of the generation which condemned Socrates Had any untoward fate befallen Anytus, it could not fall to have been mentioned in Xenophon's Apologia ( $\S 3 \mathrm{I}$, which was written after his death. The name is varionsly spelt Mé $\lambda_{\eta}$ tos and médızos. This is part of that confusion known among scholars by the term 'itacism' Whatever may have been the case in ancient times, the vowels $\eta, t, v$ and diphthongs $\epsilon t$, ot have now all precisely the same sound in Greek, namel, that of the Enghsh long $e$. See Thompson's Gorgias, p 80.

סı́́ßà $\lambda$ ov oi $\delta$ ıa $\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v \tau \epsilon s]$ The fulness of expression gives an air of dellberation, Riddell, Digest, $\S 262,3$ Cp Crito 48 A,

 There was much uncertainty among the Anclents themselves as to the proper meaning of this term. According to the Scholiast on this passage dy $\mathbf{d o \mu} \mu \circ \sigma i a$ was used of the counter-oaths taken by the prosecutor and defendant at the beginning of a suit, the one swearng that a wrong had been committed, the other that it had not He menthons another view, that àv ${ }^{2} \omega \mu o \sigma i a$ properly referred to the defendant's oath only, whle $\delta$ iouooia was the name for the oath taken by the prosecutor The following is the result which Meer and Schomann have armed at from a thorough examination of the whole question (Der Attische Process, pp. 624, 625, edit. of IS24): 'The prosecutor's oath, according to the grammanians, is properly called $\pi \rho o w \mu o \sigma i a$, that of the defendant $\alpha v \tau \omega \mu \circ \sigma i a$, both together $\delta$ s $\omega \mu \sigma \sigma i a$ Still the word àrrajocia is often used for both (i. e. singly as well as together, as the examples selected show), and $\delta$ tamoria denotes not merely both together, but often one of the two' It is plain that in the prasent passage durauoria is neither more nor less than 'indictment,'
the proper term for which is ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \mu$, which we have in 24 C ad m . The word is explained by Plato himself in the Theactetus, $172 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ :


 Here we see that $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \mu o \sigma i a$ was understood by Plato to mean the written statement on oath of the points in dispute between two litigants.
àvayvôval] This word, hke recztare in Latin, often means to read
 Corn. Nep. Att is ${ }^{\text {; }}$
 which began with the same words. See 24 B ad fin This mock indictment shows us planly the way in which Socrates' character was misconcerved by his countrymen. He was regarded with susp1cton as a physical philosopher with atheistical procluntes and as an unscrupulons sophist who subordmated truth to cleverness
тереєр पáberal] 'Follows cunous inquines.' So Purves, who compares the use of the adjective in Acts xix. 19, ikavoi $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} y \boldsymbol{T c}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} i \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ a $\pi \rho a \xi^{\alpha} \nu \tau a \nu$. The transition of thought from physical science to magic is very easy to the uneducated We have a parody on the 'cunous inquiries' which were supposed to occupy the mind of Socrates in the philosopher's experiment to ascertan how many times the length of its own foot a flea could jump (Arist. Clonds 144-152),
$\tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Aploroфávovs $\kappa \omega \mu \mu \delta i ́ q]$ The Clouds For searchung into $\mathbf{C}$ things beneath the earth and things in heaven, see the broad burlesque in I $87-201$, and for making the worse appear the better cause, see especially 112-18, and the dialogue between the two $\lambda$ doyot, 8861104.
$\left.\pi \in \rho \downharpoonright \phi \in \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \in \mathrm{vov}\right]$ Socrates is represented on the stage in a swing line 2IS):
$\dot{\alpha} \in p \circ \beta a \tau \in \hat{i v}]$ Socrates, when asked by Strepsiades what he is doing up in the basket, replies (line 225).-

' My feet are on the air, My thoughts are in the sun.'-E. A.
むv é $\mathbf{~ c \omega}$ oúdév] Xenophon represents Socrates as having an aversion from physical speculations on the ground of their utter impracticability and remoteness from haman interests (Mem. I. I. §§ ir-15) On the limits of the profitable study of science as concelved of by Socrates see Mem. IV. 7. \$§ 2-8.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s$ दं $\gamma \dot{\prime}$ к.т. $\lambda$. $]$ 'I hope to goodness I may not be prosecuted
b. Melctin njum $\frac{0}{}$ grave a charge' It is not necessary to take rorauras of number, $=$ tot. The use of the plural for the singular in the phrase fikas $\phi$ eviretp is well borne ont by a number of simila phases which are collected by Liddell and Scott, sub voce IV. 3 . The words are a mere passing gibe. 'I had better mind what I'm saying, for there is no knowing for what Meletus may fall foul of me'
a $\lambda \lambda \lambda_{\alpha}$ Yáp] 'But indeed.' This idiom is of specially frequent occurrence in the Apology, perhaps because the detion is designedly colloqual. Cp. below D ad fin., 20 C ad in., 25 C ad in., also Meno $9^{2} \mathrm{C}, 94 \mathrm{E}$ The idiom is as old as Homer, and may always be explained by the theory of an ellpse of some kind after the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ See, for instance, Od. X. 201, 2-


where Merry supples the ellipse thus: 'but [all in vain] for no good came by their weeping.' Shilleto, however, maintans, in his note to Thucydides, Bk. I. ch. 25 , that in this use of $\gamma$ áp we have a relic of an ongmal meaning 'truly,' 'verily,' parallel to that of the Lation nam and erzm. In that case we may compare à $\lambda \lambda d \boldsymbol{d}$ áp with the use of sed cmm in Firgil, Aen I. 19-
'Progeniem sed enm Troiano a sangume duci Audierat.'


 espectally line 98 -

That Socrates never taught for money is abundantly evident from the express testumony of his disciples. Cp. below 3 I B, C, and see
 however, a disciple of Aristotle, who wrote a life of Socrates, is quoted by Drogenes Laertius (II §20) as recording that Socrates from tume to tume collected voluntary contributions-ritévia yoûv,
 ridivza evidently refers to some kind of subscription-box The invilous word, $\chi$ pquatiซarөau, which precedes is probably due to Diogenes himself, who delights in a bit of scandal. This story has been summarily rejected even by those who accept the general testimony of Aristoxenus as trustworthy; bat there is, after all, nothing umprobable in the statement that Socrates allowed his friends to help him, nor anything inconsistent with the professions which are put into his mouth by his discuples. The reasons on
which Socrates rested his volent antipathy to teaching virtue for money are (I) that it was degrading, as the teacher made himself for the time being the slave of the man from whom he was expecting a fee, and (2) that it involved an absurdity, as, if moral benefit were really imparted, the person so improved would be anxious to display his gratitude On this subject cp. Xen. Mem. I. 2. § 7 with Gorg. 520 E , where the following test is laid down of

 bengs, even the most exalted, must live somehow. Socrates had no private property, and did not work for his living. We are there fore driven to the conclusion that he was supported by voluntary contribations. See Xen. ©Ec. II. § 8
érei] This use of érei points to an ellipse before it. (Not that I mean to disparage those who do undertake to educate people) since, etc $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \dot{i}$, when used thus, may be rendered ' though.'
Toppias] A celebrated rhetoncian, a natıve of Leontium in Sicily He was an elder contemporary of Socrates, but is said to have outlived him (Quunt. III I. § g). We are told that he attained to an enormous age. It is put by Cicero at 107. See De Senectute, ch. 5, where we are informed that his most celebrated pupil, Isocrates, died at the age of 99

The dalogue of Plato which goes under the name of Gorgras begins with a discassion on the meaning and power of rhetonc, but ends with an earnest vindication of the life of virtue aganst the corrupt political tendencies of the tumes
חpósicos] A native of the sland of Ceos, and one of the most popular 'teachers of virtue' of his day. He is best known now as the onginal author of the charming allegory called the 'Chore of Hercules,' which is preserved in Xenophon's Memorabilia (II. I


 The Choice of Hercules shunes out like a gem amid its somewhat dull surroundings; one can feel the impress of a master-mind in the picturesqueness of its imagery; but Xenophon modestly declares that it fell from the lips of the author in far more maguificent phraseology than that in which he has clothed it. Prodicus had a pecularly deep voice, which rendered his utterance indistanct
 p. 210). Cp. Prot. 316 A ad in.
'Intias] Another famous sophist and rhetorician, a native of Ehs. He was employed on diplomatic missions to various states,
and, in partucular, to sparta .Hipp. Maj. 28ı A, Dj. This mixture of the professor and pulitician was a characteristic common to the three sophists here meutioned (lbid 2S2 B, C). Hippas' specalalty in secence was astronomy, Hipp Maj 285 C ad m ., Hipp. Min. 30 E ad fin Cp 1'rot 315 C He was also in the habit of lecturng on grammar and musie (Hıpp Maj. 285 D ad in ; Hipp. Min 368 D . Hippias memory was extraordmanly retentive. Plato makes him boast that he could remember fitty names on once hearing them (Hupp) Maj 285 E . Cp Phlost, Luves of the Sophists, p. 210 ad in. He would scem to have invented some arthicial sjstem of miemonics (Hipp Min 368 D, Xen Conv IV. § 62). Hipplas was considerably jounger than Gorgas (Hıpp Maj 282 E ). He to treated with less respect by Plato than either Gorgas or Prodicus. We are allowed to see that the mann feature of his character was an overweenng vanity. Yet he appears to have had a good deal to be vain of, and to have been, in fact, a sort of 'admirable Cnchton' of his day. We are told that he appeared on one occasion at Olympaa with every artucle of his apparel and equipment-his ring, seal, flesh-scraper, onl-fask, shocs, cloak, tunic-made by his own hands. To crown all, he wore a girdle resemblung the most costly Persian work which he had woven himself. Besidts this he carried with hin his own works in prose and poetry-eppe, tragic, and dithyrambe (Hıpp. Min. $368 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{D}$ ). Among the prose works of Happias we have mention of one called the Trojan Dialogue, evidently an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta e \epsilon t s$, like that of Prodicus The scheme appears to have been simple-Nestor after the taking of Troy giring adrice to Neoptolemus how to show humself a good man (Philost, Lives of the Sophists, p. 210)
 inudiousness to the pretensions of the Sophists was this claim, that they, coming as strangers to a citr, were better qualified to educate the young men than therr own relations. See Prot $316 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$; Hup. Maj. 283 E.
meíOovol] The subject roúrau éraozos is virtaally plural, so that there is nothing rery starting in this change of number Plato is everywhere colloqual, but nowhere more so than in the Apology, where it is part of his dramatic purpose to contrast the simple speech of Sucrates with the laboured oratory of the law-courts. If the words in brackets, oios $\tau^{\prime}$ '̇griv, were retained, we would have a nulent anacoluthon, or change of construction. There is nothing corresponding to them in the Theages ( $127 \mathrm{E}, 128 \mathrm{~A}$ ), in which the whole of this passage is reproduced.
20 A inti] See note above on 19 E

Ėmônuoûva］ Notice that verbs of seeing，knowng，\＆c，are constructed with a participle．

Ka入入iq $\tau \hat{\varphi}$＇IтToviкov］Surnamed＇the wealthy．＇His house was the largest and richest in Athens See Prot． 337 D ，in which daalogue not only Protagoras himself is represented as being enter－ taned by Callas，but also Prodicns of Ceos，Hippias of Elis，and many others of less note（ $314 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ．Cp Xen Conv．I \＆ $5^{\prime} \mathrm{He}$ had another house at the Peiraeus，which is the scene of Xenophon＇s Symposium．His mother marred Pericles as her second husband， to whom she was alrendy related by blood，and had by him two sons，Paralus and Xanthippus（Prot 314 E， 315 A ；Meno 94 B； Plut．Pericles 165 ）His brother Hermogenes is one of the inter－ locutors in the Cratylus（ 384 A ad fin， 39 I B）Callas seems especially to have umbibed the teaching of Protagoras（Crat 39r C； Theaet 165 A ad in．）．His passion for philosophy is referred to in

 produced any beneficial effect upon his character，as he is sand to have been a spendthrift and a profigate His reputation，however， has suffered at the hands of his enemy Andocides
avmpó $\quad \eta v$ ］In Attic prose $\bar{\eta} \kappa^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ is commonly nsed as the aorist of éparóm See，for mstance，Prot 350 C ，ci dè кà of tappa入є́ot

סvío uit $\epsilon$ ］See Andocides de Mysteriis，§§ 126， 7
áperify Notice that adjectives can be followed by a cognate B accusative as well as verbs • Cp below D ，rav́тךv eiva rooús． 22 C，D；Meno 93 B
 man and a citzen＇This was exactly what the Sophs＇s clamed to impart．See Prot． 318 E
entovin $\mu \omega v$ ］To Plato＇s mind there was an etymological con－ nection between $\dot{\hat{k}} \pi / \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ and $\dot{\mathbf{\epsilon}} \pi / \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{y}$
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \pi} \hat{\uparrow}, \sigma เ v]$＇Owing to your having sons．＇$\kappa \tau \dot{\alpha} o \mu a t$ in the present means＇to acquire，＇кéктๆuat in the perfect＇to possess＇The verbal substantive $\kappa 7 \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ has sometimes the one meaning and sometrmes the other In Enthyd． 228 D，for instance，it distinctly means
 478 F For the other meaning＇possession，＇which it has here，cp． Rep I 33 I B ；Arist．Eth．Nic．I $8^{\prime}$ § 9 ，IV．（I）§§ 7,23 ．
Tis， $\mathfrak{\eta} v \delta^{\prime}$＇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The rapid succession of questions is meant to indicate the eagerness of the speaker．They are answered with a succinctness which might satisfy the most impatient．Hápos is in reply to roסamús．

Eủnvós] Evenus is reterred to as a poet in Phaedo 60 D; certain technicalities of rhetoric are ascribed to him in Phaedrus 267 A
C $\{\mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ ] 'Teaches so cheaply' From meaning 'harmonıous,' or 'well-proportioned,' ' $\dot{\mu} \mu \in \lambda$ tg's came to mean 'small.' Cp. Laws


 what resembles that of the Latun goaulhs, which m prose commonly means ' thin.' Cp also d\&tos and the German bellgg.
 piumed myself'
à $\lambda$ ' ou' $\gamma$ áp] 'But indeed I don't know them.' The ellipse theory would here require us to fill up thus • $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ (ò̀ ка入入ivooual $\tau \epsilon$


[ei $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi<$ émpartes $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] These words simply repeat the clanse above, $\sigma \hat{v} \gamma \in$ où $\delta \dot{c} \nu k$.r. $\lambda$ They may nevertheless be genume, as an emphatic tautology 15 common enough in Plato. Riddell registers it, under the tutle of • Binary Structure,' a one of the prominent features of his sty le. Digest, § 204



moiav 8 ǹ coфiav $\pi a v i t \eta v ;$ ] The words are drawn into the accusative through the influence of the $\delta t \dot{d}$ preceding. Translate ' Of what kind then is this wisclom through which I have obtained it " Cp
 may take place where there is no preposition preceding, as in Gorg. $462 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{T}$ 'yos $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is qaúrys. Here the word preceding is in the gentive.
ท̄тep] Sapply roaaitๆ èotiv


Ef $\left.\phi \eta{ }^{i}{ }^{i}\right]$ 'Says I do' $\phi \eta \mu i ́$ is ' I assert,' oủ $\phi \eta \mu i$, ' I deny'
$\left.\mu \eta े \theta_{0 \rho} \cup \beta \eta_{\sigma} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon\right]$ The aonst sabjunctive forbids a particnlar act in Greek, like the perfect subjunctive in Latin.
$\mu^{\prime}$ 'fa $\lambda^{\prime}$ 'үeve] 'To be saying something big.' Cp. Arist. Eth.

 noticed by all who gave an account of his defence. See Xenophon, Apol. Soc. § I. Cicero, De Oratore, ch. 54, says of him, 'Ita in iudicıo capitis pro se ipse dixit, wt non supplex aut rens, sed magister ant dominas videretur esse iudicum.'
oú yàp '̇pòv épô ròv $\lambda$ óyov] The rule of Greek syntax that the subject has the article and the predicate not, extends to the case of a secondary and tertiary predicate. We have here two statements in a compressed form:


The same principle applics to the next clause also.
 speaker whom you may trust' It is difficult to say whether $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} v$ should be taken immediately with d $\ddagger$ cóxpecty or with the sentence generally as a dativus commodr after duoíou.
Xatp $\epsilon$ ©ิvra] Chareephon, of the Sphettian deme, was one of the most devoted adherents of Socrates. He associated with him for the sake of mental and moral mprovement, and is mentioned by Xenophon as one who had brought no discredit on the teachings of his master (Mem I 2. §48). His disposition was impulsive and excitable (Charm 153 B ). Chaerephon had a younger brother, Chaerecrates Memorabilia II. 2 contans an exhortation to Chaerecrates to concliate Chaerephon, with whom he was at variance. Chaerephon figures in the Charmides and in the Gorgias, where we are told that he was a frend of that eminent teacher (Gorg 447 B) In personal appearance Chaerephon was suchly, lean and darkcomplexioned. This explans some of the uncomplimentary allusions of the Comic poets, who were peculiarly bitter in therr attacks apon him, partly perhaps for political reasons, as he was evidently a warm partisan. Aristophanes in the Birds calls him an owl (line 1296). in the Wasps he compares him to a sallow woman (line 1413); in the lost play of the Seasons he nicknamed him 'the son of night.' To the same effect is the epithet $\pi v_{s}^{\prime}(\nu) o s$ bestowed upon him by Eupolis in the Cities. His poverty, or, it may be, his asceticism, is jeered at in the Clonds, 103, $4-$


Similarly Cratinus called him aùx $\mu \eta \rho \grave{\nu}$ кaì $\pi \in ́ v \eta \tau a$. Even the moral character of Chaerephon did not escape scatheless. Aristophanes called him a sycophant in one play and a thief 11 another, while Eupols accused him of toadying Callias. On the whole, then, Chaerephon was pretty well known to the Athenians See the Scholast on this passage. For other allasions to him in the Clonds see lines $144,156,504,832,1465$. Chaerephon, we see, was already dead when Socrates was brought to trial. Philostratus (p 203) says that his health was affected by study.

т $\grave{v} v$ фvyìv $\tau a v i \tau \eta v$ ] 'The recent exile,' referring to the expulsion $21 \Delta$
of the popular party from Athens in the time of the Thirty Tyrants, whose usurpation lasted from Jone 404 B c. to February 403 . The restoration of the democracy was effected in the following year (B C. 403-402), memorable in Athenian history under the title of the archonship of Eucledes.
 'How energetic in whatever he set to work at 1 ' Cp. Charm. 153 B, ӓтє каі дарикоे ${ }^{\omega} \nu$.
 ad in The request above, $\mu \bar{\eta} \theta$ opvßíq$\sigma \tau \epsilon$, is repeated now in a more general form.
deeihev] The words of the oracle are recorded by the Scloo-liast-

The second line only is quoted by Diogenes. Perhaps a $\delta t$ has dropped out before the Eupinions in the first
$\left.\delta \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}\right]$ Doubtless the Chaerecrates already referred to See note on 20 E, Xaıpєфâvta.
 the divine nature, which led to the revolt of the philosophers against mythology.
avitov̂] 'Into at,' i.e into the matter. This vague use of the pronoun is not uncommon. See Meno 73 C , ríaivt $\phi \eta \sigma$.
C $\mu$ avteiov] This word here evidently means 'the divme utterance,' not the place of divination, which is a meaning it often beais.
$\tau \hat{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X} \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}]$ ] 'The oracle.' $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ ós is properly the answer given by an oracle, like $\mu a \nu \tau$ tiov just above, but it is here personfied out of reverence, to avord the appearance of calling the god to account.
of ct] Notice that oitl is used with the direct as well as with the oblique narration, unlike 'that' in English, which is confined to the latter.

obvópatı yáp] ráp explanns why the mere pronoun $\tau 0 \hat{\text { ôtov }}$ is used instead of the proper name 'I say him, for,' etc.
 some such expenence as this' For the construction $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \in \nu \quad \pi \rho \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$


 the beginning of the sentence
 frankly, a prece of bad grammar After the partuciple $\delta\left(a \lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \omega \mathrm{S}\right.$
we should have expected some such construction as the è $\lambda o \gamma!\left(\frac{1}{o} \mu \eta \nu\right.$ ött, which follows m D. Instead of which the participle is left to look after itself, thus forming a nominativus pendens, and the sentence is finshed in the impersonal form. For similar instances of changed construction see Riddell, Digest of Idioms, § 27 I .
à $\left.\pi \eta x^{\theta} \delta \mu \eta v\right]$ 'Got myself disliked.' Cp Phulebus 58 C, óò̀z̀ $\gamma$ àp $\mathbf{D}$ $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \ell \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ Гopyia. This is an instance of what Ruddell calls the sem1-middle sense of the verb See Digest, § 88. Cp. note on 35 C , teit $\} \in \theta \theta a u$.
kcvovveviel] On the force of kıvסvveive see $L$ and $S$. sub voce, 4 b .
kadòv kảjafóv] This expression is generally used in the masculine, and imphes the ne plus ultra of perfection, the man who is beautuful both without and within-the finsshed result of $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \kappa v '$ and $\mu$ ovounخ่. For the nenter use cp Arist Eth. Nic. I. (8.) § 9, т $\omega \nu$

aiotavó $\mu$ нvos $\mu$ év к.т. $\lambda$.] 'Perceiving indeed with pain and ap- $\mathbf{E}$ prehension.'
 stood; but it is more hkely that we have here a sudden transition to the direct narration, 'So I must go,' etc.
 idiom is well known by which the subject of the sacceedng verb becomes the object of the preceding one. The sentence as we have it is much liveler than if the strict syntax were followed-akotoûvtı

vì iòv kiva] The Scholast quotes Cratinus in the Cherrons-


and tells ths that such oaths as those by the dog, the goose, the planethee (see Phaedrus 236 E ad in.), the ram, and so on, were resorted to for the avoldance of profanity. For the oath by the goose, see Aristophanes, Birds 521 -

It is probably only Plato's fun to identrfy 'the dog' with the

 like pots-tausente, morbleu and many other modern oaths.
di íyou סeiv k. т. $\lambda$.] 'To be nearly (lit within a little of being) 22 A the most deficient.' The rov̂ belongs to eivac. The phrase is usually followed by a smple infinitive, whether it is used personally, as in $30 \mathrm{D}, 37 \mathrm{~B}$, or impersonally, as in 35 D .
kard ròv $\theta$ év ] Socrates regards the statement of the god as implying a command to prove its truth.
©̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ Tóvous $\tau$ wàs $\pi$ ovoûvtos] He compares his task of convinaing mankind of therr ignolance to the labours of a Hercules. norovivoos agrees with the $\bar{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{v}$ mplied in $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$
tiva $\mu$ ou $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'In order that I might have the divine declaration set quite above dispute' Socrates, though puzzled by the olacle, is anxious to vindicate the truth of the derty Riddell distinguishes between $\mu a r$ reiov and $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i a$. taking the former to signify the expression and the latter the meanng, so that pavreia stands to $\mu a v \tau$ eiov in the same relation as the judgment to the proposition in logic. The propositions of an oracle, as is well known, were pecularly liable to equivocation and amphiboly, so that the $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i=\nu$ might differ serionsly from the $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon^{\prime}(a$, as in the historical instances of Croesus and Pyrrhus. In its primary meaning $\mu a v \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ s i g n i f i e s ~$ the process of divination, not, as here, the product Hermann emends the text by the conjecture $\kappa^{*} \nu \bar{d} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \sigma^{\prime}$, which represents it as the object of Socrates to refute the oracle This does not seem
 où $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta$ $\theta \mu$ is $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi}$, while on the other hand it fits in better with the
 à $\mu a \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \in \rho a \nu$ èneivan övta. In etther case there is a slight difficulty, but complete consistency cannot be looked for in a dilemma beiween prety and politeness



 траүчбі́a ミофокле́а.
B סilupd $\mu \beta \omega \mathrm{v}$ ] When Plato is speaking technically, he confines $\delta_{1} \theta$ vipa $\mu$ Bos to a song relating to the birth of Bacchus, coordmating
 Laws 700 B.
кai tovs $\Delta \lambda \lambda$ ovs] For a fuller list of species of poetry see Ion


 canght in the very act (avito-).
avirois 1 Dative of the agent. $\pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \in \hat{v} \sigma \theta a t$ is passive.
of itapóvies] 'Who were present.' The participle is in the imperfect tense

 גó ${ }^{\prime}$, which Hermanan conjectured in place of it. Riddell compares Symp $217 \mathrm{~A}, \hat{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{z}$ Bpaxєî.

фúvel twì кaì èvoovocágovzes] 'Owing to a sort of instnct and $\mathbf{C}$ divine afflatus.' This theory of poetry as a form of inspiration meets us everywhere in Plato, e.g Phaedrus 245 A ; Meno 99 D, Ion $533 \mathrm{D}-534 \mathrm{E}$.
The participle évoovocájovtes is here equivalent to a dative of manner.

 17 A.
 perception, and the participle, instead of infintive, as after verbs of seemg, knowing, etc. $\mathrm{Cp} 20 \mathrm{~A}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu 0 \hat{\nu} v \tau a$.

kai évitev $\theta \in \mathrm{ev}$ ] ' Fiom them too' Like unde and unde in Latin, $\hat{e} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\theta} \theta \in \nu$ is sometimes used of persons


evipíqoopl] Future optative, which is found in oblique oration only. The direct statement would be oifo ört cipjiow.
 With molnrai supply eixov.
tisiov] 'Clammed'
àmékpurtev] 'Threw into the shade.' The assumption of universal knowledge was a mistake which outweighed in importance the value of therr specific skill in handicraft
$\pi o ́ \tau \in \rho a \quad \delta \in \xi a \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \ddot{\imath} v]$ 'Whether I would choose.' Literally E 'would accept' (if the choice were offered).

 eneivou éxovouv means' to have what they have,' therr knowledge and their ignorance.
 elot
övoua 8 è тoûro $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' And I am called by this name, that I$ am wise.' Ruddell. Lit. 'I am called by name, this, \&cc.' We might have expected $\tau \dot{\delta}$ elvat $\mu \in \sigma o \phi \dot{v} v$. The nominative is due to the fact that Socrates is himself the subject. For a similar construction with


oi mapóvets] 'The bystanders'
$\hat{a}$ av $\left.\bar{d} \lambda \lambda o v{ }^{\circ} \xi \in \hat{\xi} \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \xi \omega\right]$ 'Wherem I have refuted another.' ' $巨 \xi \in \lambda \in \hat{\prime} \gamma \chi \omega$ can take two accusatives: (I) of the person; (2) of the thang.
 $\S 19$ (though not in his text), to separate $\tau \grave{\delta} \delta \dot{6}$ by a comma from $\kappa \iota \nu \delta v v \in v^{\prime} \leqslant 1$. тò $\delta \in ́$ introduces a counter-statement, and may be rendered 'whereas,' 'but in fact,' or quite literally, 'but for that
 סóga $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ d $\lambda \eta \theta \theta^{\prime} s$, 'whereas after all there was also nght opinion.' Other instances are Theaet. $157 \mathrm{~B}, 183 \mathrm{~A}, 207 \mathrm{~B}$; Soph. 244 A ; Symp 198 D; Prot. 344 E; Rep 340 D, 443 C ; Laws 803 D.
© $\theta$ cós] This was probably intended to be understood of Apollo, and yet did not quite mean so in Plato's mind
kaì oủסєvós] An mstance of the alternative use of raí 'Little or nothing '
oú $\lambda$ é $y \in \iota$ тòv $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \eta]$ 'Not to mean the indıvidual, Socrates'

áv tuva oïcual] 'Anyone whom I may imagine' Supply $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{v}$
 seeking, $\langle\eta \tau \hat{\omega}$ rai ' $\quad$ pevv̂̀, take a double accusative, one of the person and another of the thing, тaûтa. тâ̂тa= $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$, as Mr . Adam


év $\pi \in$ víq $\mu v p i ́ a c] ~ ' I n ~ u n t o l d ~ p o v e r t y ' ~ \mu v p i o s ~ d e n o t e s ~ a n y t h i n g ~$ that is beyond counting; $\mu$ úpos means definitely ten thousand. The use of $\mu v$ vios $^{\prime}$ for rodús is found several times in Plato Anstotle mentions it as a ase of the specific for the general word, and so more suitable to poetry than prose. In English we use 'thousand' and 'thousands' to express an indefinitely large number; sometimes 'millions.' The Romans did not get beyond six hundred, sexcenti.
 these passages Socrates says that he thinks he could pay a fine of a mina (about $£_{4}$. By Xenophon his whole property is estımated at 5 minae (Oecon. II. § 3). It is recorded of Socrates that when he looked at the variety of goods for sale, he said to himself, 'How many things there are which I have no need of!' (Diog. Laert II. § 25). See also Rep 337 D; Xen. Mem. I. 2. § I. Oecon. XI. 3.
 of the Sophists, among whom Socrates, despite his idiosyncrasies, must be reckoned, was the Greek equivalent to a university education among ourselves.
oi $\tau \hat{\omega} v \tau \lambda 0 v o \omega \tau \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v]$ 'The sons of the wealthiest citizens' Supply vieis from the $\nu$ '́ol preceding, or repeat $\nu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ o itself, like Juvenal's-
'pinnirapi cultos iuvenes iuvenesque lanistae' (III. 158).

$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } 23 \mathrm{C}-24 \mathrm{~A}
$$

aùrónator] With èmarohou日ov̂vtcs. He means that these young men had not been formally committed to his charge by their parents, and that he was under no tutorial relations to them. Cp. Xen. Mem I. 2. § 18

 compares the delight of the young in argument to that of puppies in worrying the first thing they meet He would reserve dialectic for men of mature yea:s
Êvrê̂قev] 'As a consequence.' The odium reverted upon Socrates, as he was the originator of this unpleasant system of examnation
Ewnpartys ris éorl] tis is predicate 'Socrates is a most pestilent fellow.' Contrast with this the construction in 18 B , ws
 stantive verb.
$\pi \rho o ́ x \in \operatorname{pa}]$ A metaphor from a stone or other missile which is $\mathbf{D}$ ready to hand aganst some one We have an excellent illustration of the kind of thing referred to in the Symposium of Xenophon, in which the showman, irrtated w.th Socrates for engrossing the attention of the guests by his conversation, calls him $\mu \in \tau \in \omega$ pay фpoutiotins, and asks him how many fea's paces he is off from hum (Xen. Conv. VI. §§ 6-8).

 mifinitives voui $\zeta_{\text {LL }}$ and moctiv, which are coordnate with them, are governed by $\delta i \delta a ̈ \sigma k \omega \nu$ understood.
äтє . . övres] 'Seeng that they are.' Lit 'as beng.' äte is much the same in sense as $\dot{\omega}$, but is more exclusively used to give a reason.

Evveetaүpévos] 'In set array.' Riddell Perhapp Mr Adam is right in understanding it as = Latin composite, 'in studied language.' There is another reading, ̧vveteauévos, which would mean 'earnestly.' ék toùtav] ' It is on this ground.' E

Mé́ $\eta_{\text {quos }}$ ] See note on 19 B .
*Avvios] Anytus was a prominent leader of the popular party at Athens (Xen Hell II 3 § $4^{2}$ ). His father, Anthemion, had made his fortune as a tanner isee Meno 90 A , and Scholiast on Apology). Hence the propriety of his appearing in a double
 кผิ้
 Lycon was an Ionian by eatraction, and belonged to the deme ot

Thoricus He is called a＇demagogue＇by Drogenes Laertins，II § $3^{8}$ ad fin．His poverty exited the ndicule of the comic poets Ciatinus and Aristophanes The moie serious charge of treason is brought ayrainst him in the Hostage（＂Ounpos＇of Metagenes，one of the ain quas amm comosala prasca viroram est．－
．．．．kal $\Lambda u ́ k \omega \nu$ èvrâ̂̀á $\pi o v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . тpoooùs Naúnakrov àpyúplov 入aßふ̀v }
\end{aligned}
$$

We are told that Eupolis in the Friends satrized his wife Rhoda The Scholnast identifies the accuser of Socrates with Lycon， the father of Autolycus，the youth in whose honona the Symposium of Xenophon is represented as having been given，and adds that Lycon was saturzed as a stranger in the play of Eupolis called －The First Autolycus＇This play is assigned to BC．420．The identification of the two persons appears highly improbable on chronological and other grounds There is a Lycon mentioned in an uncomplimentary context by Aristophanes，Wasps I 301.
ov่тє $\mu \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ a oútє $\sigma \mu$ ккрóv］The frequent recurrence of this phrase in the Apology is perhaps intentional Cp．19 C，D， $21 \mathrm{~B} ; 26 \mathrm{~B}$ It may have been a trich of speaking on the part of Socrates， which Plato has been careful to reproduce
 a sail The metaphors of a nation give us a clue to their habitual pursurts Those of the Athenians are mostly naval，legal，o1 gymnastic．
roîs autoís］＇Through the same things＇
кai öt aütn $\kappa \tau . \lambda$ ］＇And that this is the meaning of the pre－ judice aganst me，and these the causes of it＇
 you，Aúrm is attracted into the gender of the predicate àmo－ doyia，being put for roîro．This is the prevaling construction in Greek．
 law－courts．It is worth while to compare his Hecuba，lines I195，6－


$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta a \mu \epsilon v a v i] a \tilde{i}$ does no more than repeat the aî̀rs at the beginning of the sentence．
avicuporiav］See note on $19 B$
 us the indictment $u$ the direct narration，without voaching for its



$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } \quad 24 B-25 A
$$

 Apologra Socratis § Io, where it is repeated in the ublqque narration,


 § $40^{\circ}$ states on the authority of Favorinus, a wnter of the age of Hadran, that the indictment was preserved in the Metroum He quotes it in exactly the same form in which it is given by Xenophon, except that eirgroinevos is used instead of eioptepav The indictment is followed by the words $\boldsymbol{\text { rimpua Aávaros. }}$
 tional paradox For illastrations of this figure of speech see Farrar's Greek Syntax, § 315 C . Riddell renders it 'is playing off a jest under solemn forms.'
кai $\mu$ or $\delta \in \hat{i p o}$ к. $\tau \lambda$.] The Imagnary heckling of Nieletus which follows is in due form of law, being the épímpors, to which either party was bound to submit at the instance of the other See 25 D ,
 In Demosthenes, p rizi ad fin. (Kazà Eteq́ávov D, 10 ), a law is quoted to the following effect: тoìv àvzioikouv èmávaүкes elvaı
 Introd p. avim
 to the Latno nonne. To ask, ' Do you do anything else than such and such a thing?' is a roundabout way of indicating our belief that the person does the thing in question. On the same principle we insert a ' not' in English, when we wish to suggest an affirmatue answer 'Do you not consider it of great importance, etc. ?'
 being predicate to ròv סiaq日eipovra, while at the same time it is the drect object after cijájes. 'For having discovered their corrupter, as you assert, in me, sou are bringing me up before them and accusing me.'
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{v}$ á $\phi$ Ooviav] The number of judges was at least soo.
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ of $\mathfrak{\text { év }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ expects the answer Yes, $\mu \eta$ expects the answer No.

 unfortunate in your opinion' Karayıpowowev twós means to form an estimate of somebody. It may be used of favourable or un-





roivavtiov roútou $\pi \hat{a} v$ ] These words should perhaps be con sidered subject to $\delta o k e \hat{i}$ understood, and explamed by the $\epsilon t s \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ tis which follows in apposition For a different view see Riddell, Dig $\$ 13$.
oủ $\phi \hat{\tau} \tau$ ] How entrely the ov coalesces with $\phi \eta \mu$ is plan from the fact that in any other case we should here require $\mu \dot{\eta} \quad \mathrm{Cp}$. note on $\phi \eta \sigma i, 20 \mathrm{E}$.
C àné̀elav] Socrates has throughout been playng on the name Meletus. Cp $\S_{24} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D} ; 26 \mathrm{~B}$. For other instances of puns in Plato see Riddell, Digest § 323.
 to the vocative Mé $\lambda \eta \tau \epsilon$, and were separated only through that confusion of expression which is so common a feature in adjurations
 But this idea has to be abandoned when we find the same expression occurnng where there is no vocative at all, as below $26 \mathrm{E}, \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega}$


${ }_{i v}$ rohitats xplotois $\hat{\eta}$ mompois] The position of the adjectives throws a predicative torce upon them. Translate, 'Is it better to have the fellow-ctizens among whom one dwells good or bad ${ }^{2}$,
© 'râv] Nothing is really known as to the ongin and meaning of this mysterious form of address, except that it is a formula of politeness. It is plural as well as singular. See Liddell and Scott, under ér $\eta \mathrm{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{a} \nu$.
 סєîpo к.т, ,.,., 24 C.
 wiser than I at mine ?' The usual meaning of the pronouns (see note on $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \mathrm{E} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \lambda e c i a, 17 \mathrm{C}$ ) is here exactly reversed For $\tau \eta \lambda u \kappa \delta \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$ used by the speaker of himself see below 34 E, 37 D ; Crito 49 A ad fin.; Theaet. 177 C . and for $\tau 7 \lambda ı$ wồvos used of another see Prot. 36I E; Gorg. $4^{66}$ A, $4^{\AA} 9$ B ad fin In Crito 43 B we have тŋnakovitos used both in the first and second person, or rather, without distinction of person.
 perfect here expresses the state which is the result of that act. 'fovouv is 'I recognised,' ' 'rvoukas is 'you are in the state of having recognised,' and so, 'you know.' Further on, 27 A , the future
 ad in.

$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } \quad 25 E-26 \mathrm{D} .
$$



тoloútov кai ákovaíwv] If the wolds in brackets are genuine, the kaí is explanatory of toooviaur. It may be omitted in translating


 єioá $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathrm{v}$.

$\dot{\omega} v$ ] For the simple genitive after $\lambda 6$ रos Stallbaum quotes Charm.

тò $\pi a \rho \alpha \pi a v$ oú vopí̧ets $\theta \in o u$ s] This was the mpression which $\mathbf{C}$ the bulk of his contemporaries entertained of Socrates. It is conveyed planly enough in the Clouds, e.g. in the answer of Socrates to Strepsiades $(247,8)$ -


and in the epithet $\delta$ M ${ }^{j} \lambda \lambda 0$ (line 83 I ) which is bestowed upon him, with allusion of course to Diagoras, who was sumamed á $\theta$ eos (Cic. De Nat Deor I. chs I and 23).

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\eta} \lambda(\omega)$. The Sun and Moon were regarded as divine beings by the Ancients, quite apart fiom therr personification as Apollo and Artemis Helios in the Odyssey appears as a distinct person fiom Apollo (Od VIII. cp. 27 x whth 323). Among the defintions of the sun given in the"Opoc, which follow the Letters in Hermann's Plato,

Mà $\Delta l^{\prime}$ ' Supply oủ $\nu$ ou' ${ }^{\prime}$ ce. See note on 17 B .



 meant to explain the substance of which the moon was made. But It would be consistent with the tenets of Anaxagoras to translate, 'and the moon an earth.' For Anaxagoras is recorded to have believed that rational animals were not confined to our woild, and that the moon contaned dwelling-places as well as hills and valleys (Ritter and Preller 57 a; Diog Laert. II. § 8).
'Avagayópou] Anaxagoras of Clazomenae was born about b.c. 500. He was a man of wealth and position in his own country, but he resigned his patrimony to his kinsmen, and set out for Athens at the age of 20 , just at the time of the Persian invasion;

BC. $4^{80}$ Here he spent the nest 30 years of his life in the stady of natural philosophy Among the most distinguished of his pupils were Pericles and Eurripides and Archelans, the instructor of Socrates. His guesses at truth appear in some instances to have been very successful. Thus he maintaned that the moon derived its light from the sun (Crat 409 B) Also he taught the eternity and indestructiblity of matter, and declared 'becoming' and 'perishing' to be merely other names for combination and separation (Ritter and Preller, § 49). But what renders his name of most importance in the history of philosophy was his declaration that intelligence (vois) was the cause of all motion and order in the unnverse. He was indicted by the Athenians for impiety on acconnt of his opinion about the sun. Hereupon he retred to Lampsacus, where he ended his days in honour at the age of 72 The accounts, however, of his tral and denth are very conflicting According to Hermippus of Smyrna (apud Diog. Laert II. § 13) he was pardoned by the Athenans on the personal intercession of Pencles, who declared himself to be his disciple, but committed suicide in disgust at the treatment to which he had been subjected. Anaxagoras was a man of lofty mind with a passionate zeal for penetrating the secrets of nature. When asked for what he had been born, he rephed, 'To contemplate the $\operatorname{sun}$ and moon and heaven' The fiagments that remain of his writings contan Ionic forms See his life in $D_{10 g}$ Laert. II $\$ \S 6-15$, and the fragments in Ritter and Preller
oiltu aùtoùs áreípovs] The force of the ov̂ta preceding is carried on to these words.
 indicative, requrres ov, when by the infinitive, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Thus, to use

 Boí $\lambda \in \sigma \theta a t$ The difference between these two forms of expression is that the indicative puts the fact prominently forward, while the mfinitive rather regards the event as the natural outcome of its antece-dent-more briefly, the indicative expresses the real, the infinitive the logical consequence. Now when the infinitive is necessitated by the change from the direct to the oblque narration, this distmetion would be lost, were the oi changed unto $\mu \dot{\eta}$. Hence when stress is meant to be laid upon the matter of fact, the ov่ of direct nariation is retained in the oblqque Herc the direct statement would have
 Fals Leg, Appendix B.
rà 'Avagayópou $\beta \mathbf{\beta}$ 人ia] His principal work was a treatise on
nature, which Diogenes Laertus (II. § 6) tells us was 'wntten in an agreeable and elevated style.'
kai $\delta \eta \eta_{1}$ кai] 'And, I suppose.'
ei $\pi$ avv $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 \hat{1}]$ 'At the most.' Cp. Alcib 123 C , ástos $\mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 玉
 Riddell
 the meaning of this passage-
(1) That the orchestra of the thentre of Dionysus was uscel for the sale of books, when performances were not going on, and that the works of Anaxagoras could occasionally be bought there for rather less than a drachma
(2) That in return for the drachma which a theatre-goer might be supposed to pay, at the most, for a three days' performance, he was liable to be treated to the doctrines of Anaangoras, so much had they become part of the common mental stock of Athens. Euripudes was specially infected with the new learming See for instance Orestes 983
(3) That ipxjof paa hele means a part of the Agora used for public performances, and where books may be supposed to have been sold. In the Platonic glossary of Timaeus the Sophist a second meaning is
 Siov cai 'Apıбтoүeítovos einives. From Aristoph. Eccles. 681, 2, it appears that the statue of Harmodus was in the Agora
This last wew is perhaps the right one. That a work on phulosophy could be bought for so low a price as a drachma (ronghly $=$ a franc) at Athens, is, as Mr. Adam points out, the less surprising when taken in conjunction with Plato's other statement (Gorg 5 II D), that 2 drachmas would be a high price to pay for the transport of a man with all his goods and family from Pontas or Egypt to Athens.
"Amotos . кai... caut $\oplus$ ] Because, as Socrates is going to show, he was contradicting himself. 'You are undeserving of credit, Meletus, and that too indeed, as it seems to me, in your own eyes.'
ॐ̈atep ailvi $\mu a]$ 'A knnd of riddle.'
27 A


 after verbs of knowing, etc., see Rıddell, Digest, § 26.
$\delta$ roboेs $\left.\delta \eta^{\eta}\right] \quad \delta$ f shows that the epithet preceding is bestowed ironically. These finer touches have to be conveyed in English by the inflection of the voice.
 toon, which he now proceeds to apply.
 some fresh distarbance.'
 toon to which the induction had been intended to lead up. Cp.
 as Cope takes it-' hereupon.' More nsaally the phrase is $\tau \grave{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 $355 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \grave{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ тои̂тo àkoúє $\tau \epsilon$ : Cnto 49 E .
C ' $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ wivpoas] 'How kind of you'
 24 C.

àvттүpaфи̂] Lake à àтa $\mu \sigma \sigma$ ia this term properly signifies the defendant's plea, but its meaning has been extended so as to cover the mdictment Cp. note on àvтopooía, 19 B .
 consent' seems to hare had its ongin as one of the rules of the game


D Saifovas] On the nature and office of daemons, see a passage in the Symposium, $202 \mathrm{E}-203 \mathrm{~A}$. They were regarded as something

 and the agents in the production of the supernatural generally The following is the definition of daemons given by Apuleus, who professed himself a follower of Plato, 'genere anımalia, anımo passiva, mente rationalia, corpore aeria, tempore aeterna' (Quoted by St Augustine, De Cis Del IX 8) By the Jews daemons were considered to be the sprits of the wreked dead See Josephus, Bell Jud VII. 6. § 3 Hesiod, on the other hand, declared that they were the souls of the men of the golden age, Works and Days, 120-3-
 тol $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ daípoves ciol $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu e \gamma a ́ \lambda o v ~ \delta a ̀ ~ B o v \lambda a ́ s, ~$

In the Alcestis of Euripides 1002-4 we find the belhef indicated that such a transformation was possible, at least in the heroic ages-

ék т $\tau v \omega v$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega v$ 出 $\times$ r. $\lambda$.] Translate-'by some other mothers, bs whom, as you know, they are declared to be' It is tempting to

ruvev, 'by whatsoever other mothers:' but probably we hare nothing more here than the rather common omission of the preposition with the relative, when the antecedent has already been used with the


rovis $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ óvovs] Both sense and sound are improved by the omis- EE sion of these words, which are very likely due to some umntelligent commentator
 explanation of raûra, which has crept into the text. It seems harsh to take rav̀тa as governed by àmoreєpó $\mu \epsilon v o s$.
ws où $\tau 0 \hat{a}$ aùroû] Translate the whole sentence thus-'But that you should persuade anyone who has the least grain of sense, that it is possible for the same person to belleve in things pertanning to divne beings and gods, and yet, on the other hand, not to believe in divine bengs or gods or heroes, is absolutely inconceivable.' The ov, as Riddell says is irrational, being sumply a confused anticipation of the coming negative in ovi $\delta \in \mu$ ia.
If anyone thinks this explanation too bold, he can extract a meaning out of the words as they stand, while allowing ov its proper force-' But that you should persuade anyone who has the least grain of sense, that it is possible for a man to believe in things pertaining to divine bengs and at the same tume not to believe in things pertaining to gods, and again for the same person not to believe in divine beings or gods or heroes, is absolutely inconceivable.' In this case the reasoning would run thus-You admit that I beheve in $\delta a, \mu \delta y^{\prime} a$, yet yon deny that I beliere in $\theta$ eia, and, what is more absurd still, while admittung that I belreve in $\delta$ ounóvia, you deny that I believe in $\delta a i \mu \nu v e s$ or in any other kind of supernatural personal agent.

тaûra] 'What you have heard.' Cp. note on 17 C , $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{p} \delta \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{2 8} \mathbf{A}$ j̀̀xkía

mo $\lambda$ dovis кai ä $\lambda$ dous к.т.. .] 'Many other good men too'
 short at me.' The subject to $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ is $\hat{a}$ 㱙 above. This sentence is interesting, as it perhaps gives us the key to the common construction

 on 29 D , ov $\mu \grave{̀}$ тav́ঞaرaı.
 For other mstances of this expletrve use of kai see Riddell, Digest, § 132
of $\tau \in a d \lambda$ at kal] 'And above all.'
mapi] The root meanung of rapa 15 'by the sude of,' whence it easily passes into the dea ot comparison.
$\theta \in \dot{o}$ oural The femmine form, $\theta \in \dot{a}$, 1 i seldom used in classical Greek except in poetry Sometumes however it is necessary for dis-
 nung of Demosth. de Cor,, tois $\theta$ gois cüxopaı nâcı kai nácaus
aürika үáp тot к.т.X] Homer, Iliad XVIII 94-6-



D aúriкa, фПбi, $\tau \in \in v a i \eta v, \kappa$ r. .].] Ihad XVIII 98-


The speech of Achilles ( $98-126$ ), which begins as above, is a pecnlarly rambling one; but Plato has seved upon the gist of it
kopaviav] The word in Homer (II. XVIII. 104) is étárov Both Plato and Anstutle make slps occasionally in quoting Homes from memory. In some cases of course it is possible that their text may have differed from ours
 though the participle were about to be balanced by some such clause as $k \in \lambda$ evovos tov ápXovias, and that then the construction is suddenly changed, probably from a latent consclousness that there was some inconsistency between the passinty of a soldier who is assigned a post and the actuve construction éavtiv $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { a }} \mathrm{\xi} \eta$ p.
 markable Reduced to its simplest form it amounts to this-' Now it would be a strange thing tor me to have done (apodosis), if I were to desert the post which the God assigned me, for fear of death or anything else whatever (protasis)' But the protasis is complicated by a contrast being drawn between the actual behaviour of Socrates towards his human commanders and his supposed behaviour towards his dume commander. This contrast is managed by two clauses, of which the former has a $\mu \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}$ both in the protasis and the apodosis, which is answered by a $\delta$ in in the protasis and apodosis of the latter For a sumilar arrangement of particles cp. Meno 94 C , oủkoûv $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o \nu$

E iv Horidaiq] The Athenans were engaged in operations against Potidaea from 432 to the close of 430 B.C. In the Charmides ( 153 A, B) Socrates is represented as returning from the camp at Potidaea just after a battle From the Symposinm ( 220 E ) we learn that Socrates saved the life of Alcibiades at Potidaea, and afterwards resigned the prize of valour in his favour.
 in which both Brasidas and Cleon fell
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \pi i \Delta \eta \lambda i \varphi\right]$ After the disastrous defeat at Delum in b.C $4^{2} 4$ Socrates and Laches retired from the field together. The look of dogged determination on Socrates' face served better than haste to protect him from the foe Alcbbades, who was on horseback, repand his debt to Socrates and covered his retreat (Symp 221 A, B; Laches I8I B).
 the study of philosophy' $\delta$ fiv here might farly be called a cagnate accusative after тárooyтos. It has a tendency to be used somewhat


'If I were disobedient,' etc Socrates still speaks as though the oracle had directly enjoined the eccentric course of life which he pursued Cp note on xarà tivy $\theta \in \dot{\partial} v, 22 \mathrm{~A}$, and the words $\lceil\eta \tau \hat{\omega}$ кaì èpevvê nard̀ ròv $\theta \in \dot{\partial} \nu, 23$ B
Sokeiv $\sigma o \phi o ̀ v$ civau] 'Seeming to be wise.' Supply $\tau \iota v a$. For its omission cp. Meno 81 D, àva
kai évraü日a] 'In this matter also,' .. e. with regard to the fear B of death.

точтề âv] Supply фainv.
 the other world, I think also that I have not.'

むv oiida] Attraction of the Relative is most common in Greek when the antecedent is in the genitive, as here, or in the dative, and the relative in the accusative.
\& $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oisa] 'Things of which I cannot know.' The $\mu \dot{\prime}$ is due to the hypothetical character of the sentence-' If I am in doubt as to the nature of a thing, I will not fear it more than what I know to be evl.'
ei àyadà öva toyxduvel] 'Whether they may not be good' This is a case in which English idiom requires a negative, while Greek does not.
 The protasis is repeated three times in different shapes, first in the indicative, which marks an objective contangency; (I) $\epsilon i \mu \in: \hat{v} v$ ijpeis $\dot{\text { àpicte}}$, and then twice over in the optatuve, which marks a subjective contungency, ol a case contemplated as posssble ; (2) $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} i$
 the apodosis begins at $\epsilon i \pi r o u{ }^{\prime}$ a $\nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \hat{v}$ in D and ends at $\phi$ povtijecs in E .
Tìvo àpxinv] 'At all.'


đy . . . ठtaфfapịgourau] For ăy with the fut indic. see Riddell, Digest, $\S \mathrm{s}^{2} 8$.

 vúpous, and see Riddell, Digest, § 79 .
D dorrátopat $\mu^{\boldsymbol{i} v}$ кaî $\left.\phi \hat{\lambda} \hat{\omega}\right]$ ' 'I am your very humble servant' Literally 'I embrace and kiss you.' Somewhat stmular is the use


 incline $u$, to render this sumply 'God'; but probably it is meant for Apollo.
 Goodwin indeed Greek Grammar, § 257) declares that the double negative has merely the force of emphasis, and that the subjunctive is a relte of the oid nsage which we find in Homer, in which it is equivalent to a future.


 which no one can tahe away or withhold.

i $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} u \tau^{\prime} \rho \omega$ ] This predicative use of the adverb makes it really an mdeclinable aljeitive.
 prosperity is incontestable as regards a communty. The difficulty is to persuade the individual that urtue is conducive to his personal welfare, which, as he conceives of nt , is not always the case. The material advantages of virtue are insisted on by Socrates in the Memorabilia. See for mnstance the conversation with Aristippus (II. I) on the advantages of self-control. Cp. Arst. Pol. VII. I. § 6
ravit' dv tī $\beta \lambda a \beta \in \rho d$ ] 'That, I grant you, would be mischievous.'
C épueivaré $\mu \mathrm{Ol}$ ] 'Abide, pray' Ethic dative.
ditra] Xeut. pl. of the indefinte pronoun; to be distinguished

 much harm to me as to yourselves.' Another mstance of the ineradicable tipaveia of Attic diction. Cp. note on ig A, kal où па́vల к.т $\lambda$


$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } 30 D-3 I C .
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 junctive.
$\theta \in \mu$ Loóv] 'Permitted by the divine law' Latın fas.
ámokreivele] Notice the Aeolic form of the aorist in this and the two verbs which follow.
àтน $\mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma e \mathrm{ev}]$ This has been substituted on the authority of



$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v ̂ \delta \epsilon ́ \omega]$ The usual construction with $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{0} \delta \in i v$ is with the simple infinituve as here. Cp. below $35 \mathrm{D}, 37 \mathrm{~B}$; Meno 79 B ,
 цаive $\sigma \theta a r$.


ci kal $\gamma \in \lambda$ oóótepov cimeiv] There is an ellipse of $\delta \in \hat{i}$ or some $\mathbf{E}$

$\mu v i \omega \pi o s$ ] From its proper meaning of 'gadfly,' which it has here, $\mu u^{\prime} u \psi$ passed by a very intelligible transition to that of a ' spur,' which it bears in Theophrastus (Charact V (xxi) Tauchnitz), èv roîs


т $\rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta$ eskévai] The active, of which $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ' $\mu \in v_{0} \nu$ preceding is the passive. See note on ${ }^{\text {kad }} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu \dot{\mu} \theta \omega, 26 \mathrm{~A}$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma к x \theta i \xi \omega v]$ 'Settling upon' The metaphor of the gadfly is $31 \mathbf{A}$ still contmued
 you perhaps might be apt in a rage,' etc.

кpoúravers] 'With a tap' Hermann has substituted on his own conjecture doov́vavres, which would mean 'having made a rush at me.'
Tज̂v oikeiov] This refers to affairs which touched his family, as B distingurshed from those which were parely personal Xanthippe had her grievances.
 ceding. 'As a father or an elder brother might.'
 prtch as to adduce a witness.' The force of the sentence hes in the participial clause. See Riddell, Digest, § 303, and cp. 3 I D
 द̀ $\mu \partial ̀ \nu$ èp $\hat{\omega}$ rò̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v, 20 \mathrm{E}$.

 word differently in this passage, taking it to refer to the Pnyx, 'as


D $\theta$ tiôv $\pi$ kai $\delta$ auróvov] See Introduction
of $\delta$ خ̀ кai к $\boldsymbol{r} . \lambda$ ] 'Which in fact is the thing that Meletus was poking fin at in his indictment, when he drew it up' For the force of the participle see note on 3 I D abore, тoûtó $\gamma \in \kappa \tau \lambda$, and for the fact cp Euthyphro 3 B




 $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} k \eta$ contracted from the old termination in - $\epsilon \alpha$ So $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ in Prot 335 D
 nouns, whether substantive or adjective, denote berng in the state expressed by the noun
 common tónos of rehearsing a man's past serices in his defence' Riddell
 expression more emphatic. Cp Gorg $5^{21} \mathrm{C}$, " $\Omega$ s $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ סokeis, $\hat{\omega}$ इֹ́k
vitekáQoupl] Cp. Soph El. ${ }^{661}$ This form is considered by many authorities, moluding Liddell and Scott, to be a second aonst
 Gorg 483 A .
 second with $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda o i \mu \eta \nu$. 'And, 1 athet than yeld, would be ready to perish on the spot' Cp. IIom. Od. XI. $37{ }^{1}$; Eur. Hel. 587.
סukavkid] 'I will tell jou a vulgar story and one which smacks of the law-courts, but which 1 s nevertheless true.' Cp . note on

 city, yet I was a member of councll'
['Aveloxis] This nord maj be a gloss, but there would be nothing surprising in the omission of the artcle with the proper



Toùs סéka $\sigma \tau \rho a \neq \eta \gamma o v i s]$ The circumstances attending this famous trial are related by Xenophon in his Hellenics ( 1 chs $4-7$ ) Alcibiades after his triumphant return to Athens in B. C. $40 \%$ soon lost the popularty which had led to his being appointed sole com-
 was deposed, and in his place ten generals were appointed, namely,

Conon, Diomedon, Leon, Pericles, Erasinides, Arstocrates, Archestratus, Protomachus, Thrasyllus, Aristogenes In the following year, B c 406 , Conon, Leon, and Erasinides were besieged in Mitylene by the Spartan commander, Callicratidas. Diomedon made an ineffectual attempt to relheve them with twelve ships, of which ten were instantly captured. Then the Athenians put to sea with all their forces, and came to the rescue with 120 shyps. Their squadron lay at Arginusae, some islands off the coast of Lesbos, where Callicratidas offered them battle, with a fleet of inferior numbers The result was a great victory for the Athenians, who captured about 70 of the enemy's ships, at a loss of 25 of therr own. The Athenian commanders durng this action were the following erght-Aristocrates, Diomedon, Pericles, Erasinides, Protomachus, Thrasyllus, Lysias, Arstogenes Seven of these names are the same as before. Conon was still besieged in Mitylene by 50 vessels which had been left by Callicratidas under the charge of Eteonicus Leon, we may conjecture, had been captured in attemptang to bnng news of Conon's situation to Athens (see I. 6 § 2I) Lysias may have been sent from Athens to supply his place Xenophon makes no further mention of Archestratus but we know that he deed at
 After the battle the Athenan commanders decided in councll that 47 vessels should be left under the command of Theramenes, Thrasybulus, and others, to pick up the sarvivors of twelve of their own ships, which had been water-logged by the enemy, whle they themselves proceeded to attack the besseging force under Etconicus at Mitylene. A great storm which ensued prevented either of these operations from being carried out.

The Athenians at home were not satisfied with the conduct of the commanders, and deposed them all except Conon, whose situation had exempted him from blame. Of the eight who were engaged in the battle, two-Protomachus and Aristogenes-did not return to Athens. The remaining six-Pericles, Diomedon, Lysias, Arstocrates, Thrasyllus, and Erasmides-found themselves on their retum the objects of popular odium, one of the foremost of their accusers being Theramenes, the very man whose duty it had been, according to therr statement, to attend to the recovery of the missing sailors. Sentumental appeals were made to the passions of an excitable populace, and at last a senator named Callısenns was induced to propose that the generals should be tried in a body, and, if found guilty, should be put to death. Some of the prytanes refused at first to put this motion to the vote, as bemg illegal, but they were finghtencd into compliance, with the single exception of Sacrates.

The opposition of Sucrates, however, though dignufied, was ultimatelv useless. Sentence of death was passed on the eight generals, and the sh who were present were executed. Menexenus 243 C, D hows the strength of the popular sentiment with regard to this parsage in history
vavpaxias] The bettle of Arginusae
тараvópшs] They were entitled each to a separate trial, and they had not been allowed a farr heanng (Xen. Hell I. 7 §5,
 גúgos катà тòv עúpoy'
 repented of their precipitate action. Proceedings were taken against Callicenus and others who had been prominent on procurng the condemnation of the generals; but they effected therr escape during a tumult before they were brought to tral. Callixenus returned to Athens in b.c 403, when the people came back from the Piraeus, but he was unneessally detested, and died of starvation (Xen. Hell. 1. ${ }^{7}$ § 34 .
 contrary to the laws.' The negative is due to the expression beng proleptic. The tendency of the opposition was to make the people do nothing unlawful. The idiom of the French language is in these cases similar to that of the Greek: ' $J$ ' empêchais que vous ne fissiez rien contre les lois'

This incident in the career of Socrates is referred to, with the usual delicate rony with which Plato mests his character, in Gorgias


 will be found in Axiochus 368 D, E; Xen. Mem I i. § 18 ; IV. 4. § 2. In both passages of the Memorabilia it is distinctly stated that Socrates was emtorárns on the occasion We learn from the passage in the Aviochus that the opponents of the generals carried their point next day by means of a packed committee, oi $\delta \boldsymbol{\xi} \pi \in \rho \grave{i}$ Ө $\eta \rho a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$
 тúv $\ddagger \sigma a \nu$ тâv àvópôv ăкрıтоv Өávaтov.
[kaì évavtia é $\psi \eta \phi\llcorner\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \downarrow$ ] These words are suspected of being a gloss. The way in which Socrates opposed the popular will was by refusing to put the question to the vote at all, which in his capacity of chairman (èrıotín $\eta \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$, it lay with him to do Riddell accepts the words, and refers them by a hysteron photeront to Socrates voting in committee against the bill being laid before the people.

Évסekvival $\mu$ e kai àmd́yev] 'To inform aganst me or have me summanly arrested.' aváyeiv in Bater's text seems to be due to a misprint.
 recalcitrant prytanes included in the same vote with the generals. Xen. Hell I. 7. § 14.
 was known in Atheman history as 'the anarchy.' Xen. Hell II 3. § 1
of tplákovta] The names of the Thirty may be read in Xen. Hell. II. 3. § 2. The leading spint among them was Critias. They were chosen by the people, under the auspices of Lysander, with the ostensible object of codifyng the laws of Athens
$\pi \in \mu \pi \tau 0 v$ aürov] 'With four others.' The beautiful conciseness of this idiom has been mitated in the French language. See, for instance, Voltarre, Siècle de Lous XIV , ch. 12: 'Il échappe à peme lou quatrieme'
Tìv 日'd $^{2}$ ov] The Dome or Rotunda, a building shaped luke the Radeliffe, in which the Prytanes dined, and the Seribes also (Demosthenes, De Fals Leg p 419 ad fin.). It was near the counclchamber of the Five Hundred See Pausanias I. 5. § I, rov̂
 Qíovaí $\tau \in$ évaavioa oi mpuávesis
Notice that the gender of Oódos is feminine, like that of so many words of the second declension which convey the idea of a cavity, e $g \quad \chi \eta \lambda o ́ s, ~ k ı \beta a \tau o ́ s, ~ \tau a ́ q p o s . ~$
^éovta tò इaגapiviov] A man of reputation and capacity, who had been gulty of no crme Xen Hell. II. 3. $\$ 39$. Cp. Mem. IV 4 § 3 .
avariñิбau] 'To implicate.' Lit to infect. Cp. Phaedo 83 D ,

áypokóтepov] 'Too clownish' The opposite of àppoikos is $\mathbf{D}$ $\dot{\mathrm{a}} \sigma \tau \in \mathrm{i} \mathrm{o}$, which implies refinement and breeding. For the phrase

 lends emphasis to the roúrov. This use of $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ should be compared with its employment in the combination $\kappa a i$. . $\delta \epsilon \in$.
 year and a body of ten men, one from each tribe, elected in their place. Xen. Hell II. 4.523
$\mu a 0 \eta r$ dis] ' Xenophon in his Memorablia speaks always of the $33 \mathbf{A}$ companions of Sociates, not of his disciples of $\sigma v v^{\prime} \nu \tau e s$ aujr $\hat{\varphi}$, of avvova<a
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 (IV. $2 . \delta 1$ and fin.)-oi è $\pi i \theta \nu \mu \eta \tau a i, ~ I . ~ 2 § 60$ ). Arıstıppus also, in speaking to Plato, talked of Socrates as $\delta$ éraipos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu$. Arrstot Khetor II. 24.' Grote's History of Greece, vol VIII. p. 212, note 3, ed of $188_{4}$. We may add to this list the term $\delta \mu \lambda \lambda \eta \tau^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$, Mem I. 2. §§ 12,48 .

 ajađò̀s fivaz.
тd̀ é $\mu$ autov̂ $\pi \rho$ átrovtos] That is, carrying ont his divine mission. Cp. 28 E; 29 D above; 33 C below In the Gorgias Socrates 1 s made to say that the sonl which is most likely to please Rhadamanthys is that which has inhabited the body фı入ơó申ov $\tau \grave{a}$ aíroû



 Cp note on $19 \mathrm{E}, \chi р \eta \dot{\mu}$ ата пра́ттонаи
B E'p $\omega$ Tâv] 'To ask him questions'
kai दُav ris $\mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{\tau} \lambda$.] This is a soft way of sayng, ' And I am ready to question him, if he chooses.' Riddell
 Socrates had been Crituas and Alciblades, about the two most unprincipled men of their time This point was urged aganst him on the trial. See Xen Mem, I. 2 \$§ $12-18$
C єimav, ötr] With a comma at eimov, ört is explanatory of $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \in a \nu$, I told you the whole truth, how that they take pleasure,' etc. But with a colon at $\epsilon i \pi r o v$, ö $\tau \iota$ will mean 'because,' and convey the answer to the question with which the sentence begins, 'It is because they take pleasure,' etc. Cp Euthyphro 3 B.
 mission, wheh is here so emphatically expressed, is one of the chief factors to be taken into account in estumating the character of Socrates.
$\theta \in l a \mu 0 i p a]$ 'Divine dispensation'
D Éyvorav] 'Had found out.' See note on ' 1 'poakas, 25 D.

Twods] The construction of accusative and infintive after $\chi \rho \not \jmath^{\nu} \nu$ is still contunued.

$\pi \dot{d} \rho$ torv . éviavtoi] An instance of compressed construction, or constructio praegnans, 'Are present hither' $=$ ' Have come hither and are present here.'

## APOLOGY, NOTES. $33 D, E$.

Kpitwv] The attachment of Cnto to Socrates is very touching. Crito was a wealthy man, apparently engaged in business (Euthyd. 304 C), who was always ready to place his riches at the disposal of his freend ( 38 B ; Crito 45 B ). It was Crito who made anangements for Socrates' escape from prison, and who affectionately urged him to avall himself of them; it was Crito who received his last behest, and who closed his eyes in death (Phaedo IIS A). He was the author of a book contaning seventeen dalogues on thoroughly Socratic subjects. The tutles of them may be read in Diogenes Laertus II. § I2I. According to this author Crito had four sons, Critobulns, Hermogenes, Epigenes, Ctesippus, who were all instructed by Socrates. It world appear, however, from Euthyd 306 D, that he had only two, Cntobulus and another who was considerably younger. This may be due to the supposed date of the dialogue. But more probably the statement of Diogenes is erroneous Hermogenes, Epıgenes, and Ctesippus are present in the Phaedo (59 B) along with Critobulus, which may have led to the error
 the authonty of Demetrins of Byzantrum that Crito took Socrates away from his trade and educated him, being struck with his ability (Diog. Laert. II § 20 ad fin .)
$\delta \eta \mu o ́ r \eta s]$ Socrates belonged to the deme of Alopece
Kpıтоßoúdov] See note on Kpítal above. Also Phaedo 59 B. The conduct of Critobulus is made the text of a sermon from Socrates in the Memorabilia, I 3 §§ $8-15$, cp II. 6 §§ $31,32$. His appearance as a boy is described in Euthyd 27I B He figures in the Economicus and in the Symposium of Xenophon He appears to have excited the anumosity of Aeschmes the Socratuc
 of Aeschines, beyond what we leam from this passage. He is to be distinguished from Lysanıas, the father of Cephalus, Rep 330 B . We may set aside on the authority of Plato the statement to which Diogenes Laertius (II §60) inclines, that Aeschnes was the son of Channus, a sausage-maker.

Airxivov] Commonly known as 'Aeschines the Socratic' (Cic. De Inv I 31 ; Athen. V 220 a , XIII. 6II e). He was one of the most prominent among the immedate disciples of Socrates, and is mentioned in the Phaedo ( 59 B ) as having been present at the death of his master. A collection of dialogues went under his name in antıquity, of which Diogenes (II. $\$ \S 60,6 \mathrm{I}$ ) sets aside several as spurious. Scandal declared that the remainder were really the works of Socrates hmmself, which had been given to Aeschines by Xanthippe

## APOLOGY, SOTES. $33 E \cdot 3+4$.

after the death of the philosopier Athen. XIII. 6ri e, as oi aj $\mu \mathrm{p} i$
 is asserten on the authonty of Menedemus of Eretria). Even his frend Arrstippas is sall to have evelaumed aganst him as a plagiarist when he leard him give a public reading at Megara (Diog Laert. II. $\S 62$ ad tin. ': Aeschines seems to have been embarrassed all his life by ponerty, possibli on account of an inclination to good living; for Socrates recommended him 'to borrow from hamself, by decreasing his diet' , Diog. Laert. II. § 62 '. After the death of Socrates lie set up as a periumer, bat became bankrapt The tirade of Lysas the orator aganst hum, a fragment of which has been preserved by Athenacus, XIII. 6II e-612 f) represents his conduct at this tume as most degraded. Drisen to seek his fortune in Scaly, he was neglected by Plato, but welcomed by Anstippus, who introduced him at the court of Dionysins, from whom he recelved plesents in return for his dialogues $H e$ is said to have stayed at Suracuse until the expulsion of the tyrant. On his return to Athens he dud not venture to enter into rivalry with the schools of Plato and Aristuppus, but gave lectures for pay, and composed speechos for the law-courts. In his style he chefly mitated Gorgias of Leontuum There is an amusing instance of inductive reasoning quoted fiom his works by Ciceio (De Inv I. $3 \mathrm{I}^{\text { }}$, in which Aspasia a Socrates in petticuats, gies a moral lesson to Xenophon and his wif.
 of the Parmenides ( 126 B ), who was the son of Pyrlampes and halfbrother to Plato; also from Antiphon the Sophist, who figures in the Memorabila I. 6, and who may be the same with Antiphon the Rhamnosian of Menexenus, 236 A .
'Emictuous'] Epigenes is mentioned as present at the death of Socrates Phacdo 59 B! In the Memombila III. 12 ' we find bocrates remonstrating with him on the neglect of bodily exercise
 living.' The word came to be used later for 'a school'
Nıкобтратоs] There is an actor of this name mentioned by Xenophon Conv. VI § 3 ; but we have no reason to suppose that he is the same person.
 improper inflanence to bear upon him.' Ekeivas refers to Theodotus, aủroû to Nicostratus.

Mópados] Distingush this person from Paralus, the son of Pericles, for whom see Ale. in8 E; Prot. 315 A; Meno 94 B.
34 A $\Delta \eta \mu 0 \delta$ ókou] in the Thenges Demodocus is represented as
bringing to Socrates his son Theages，who has an ambition to become ao申ós
Otá $\uparrow \eta \mathrm{s}$ ］In Rep $496 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ，Socrates speaks of＇his friend Theages＇being only prevented by ill－health from abandoning phulosophy for politics He gives his name to the dalogue above mentioned．
＇Aס́ípavtos］This brother of Plato＇s appears both in the Par－ menides（ 126 A）and in the Repablic（see especially 362 D－367 E）． The genius and virtue of himself and his brother Glancon are extolled by Socrates，who quotes from an elegiac tribute of some admirer of Glaucon＇s（368 A）－

II入árwv］There are only three passages in all the works of Plato in which he names humself，namely，the one before us， 38 B ，and Phaedo 59 B，where it is mentioned that he was all at the time of the death of Socrates．
＇ATro $\lambda \lambda \delta \delta \omega \rho o s]$ Of Phalerum（Symp． 172 A）．Mentioned in the Phaedo as having been specially affected by grief during his last interview with Socrates（ 59 A ad fin， 117 D ）．He is the supposed narrator of the dialogue in the Symposium．His devotion to Socrates and to philosophy was that of a religions enthusiast，and procured him the surname of＇the madman＇（Symp 172，173）．Xenophon
 ä入lass $\delta$＇єivan＇s（Apol．Soc § 28）．
 by the $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi \dot{\prime} \delta \rho a$ ，or water－clock．Cp．the expression of Demosthenes （De Cor．p 274），$\hat{e} v \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\varphi} \hat{v} \delta \alpha a \tau \iota \quad$ The water was stopped while witnesses were speaking．
 the full expression，тapaxapô бot tô̂ Bínuatos，êms àv єïтns．
rav̂ra kaì ．．rouav̂ra］Oivios，being the demonstrative of the $\mathbf{C}$ second person，is approprately used of what has gone before，and is now in possession of the hearer．Translate tav̂ra＇what you have heard．＇See note on $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \lambda u k i c, ~ I_{7} \mathrm{C}$
ci $\delta \mu^{\prime}$ ev］＇How that he＇
$\tau \grave{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{\psi}$ 廿भ̂фov］Words of the second declension that denote earths， D stones，and the like are generally feminine Cp ．note on т тोे $\theta$ ódov， 32 C ．
oủk áģî $\mu$ ̀v $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ áp］（I say＇if＇）for，etc．
$\lambda^{\prime}$＇$e$ evv $\lambda^{\prime}$＇ $\left.\mathbf{y} \omega v\right]$ Where sumilar words have to be used in the same sentence Plato always prefers to bring them together．We have a



## APOLOGY, NOTES. $34 D-36 \mathrm{~A}$.




 (Xen. Mem II. 2. § 1). The two youngest were Sophroniscas and Menexenus (Diog Laert. II. 26).
 translate, if it be not over-refinement, 'At my time of life, and with the reputation you know of,

סua申'petv] This word is constantly used by the figure melosis in the sense of 'to be supenor'
B raîs äldats ruaîs] 'Other posts of distinction' Like honores in Latin.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \bar{j} \lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \mathrm{~L}$.
editerdar] 'Let yourselves be accustomed' An instance of what Riddell calls the semı-middle sense of the verb See Digest § 88 Both passive and middle tenses are so used Cp Meno 91 C , $\lambda \omega \beta \eta \theta \not ิ \mathrm{q} \nu a$.




 Riddell's note.

кamүYopoinv] Notice that rowel verbs take this Attic form of the optative in preference to the usual termination in -oi $\mu \mathrm{t}$
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \oplus \hat{]}]$ See note on Ig B.

 i $\mu$ árıa, etc.
 סєаßа́ллоутеs.
 one expression, so that the oufro precedes.
ei tp’ákovia $\mu$ óval k. $\boldsymbol{\text { l }}$ ] Riddell, following Heffer, takes the total number of Socrates' judges to have been 501 . Then, accepting the statement of Diogenes Laertius (II. § 4 I ), that the majornty against Socrates was 28I, as representing the aggregate of condemming votes, he draws the conclusion that the minority in his favour must have consisted of 220 . For 31 votes exactly,
or 30 in round numbers, would thus suffice to turn the scale. It appears that a Heliastic court always consisted of one more than some multuple of 100 , the odd man being thrown in to prevent an equality of votes. See Riddell's Intioduction, pp. all-xiv.
amoтeфev́रๆ] Notice the omission of the augment, for which cp.

 decerve, in other words, a jest Socrates playfully assumes that as there were three accusers, each of them ought to be credited with one-third of the votes. As these amounted altogether only to 28 I , Meletus could not clam a full hundred, which was the fifth part required out of the total of 50 .

x ${ }^{\text {dias }}$ Spaxpás] See the law quoted in Demosthenes against $B$



 à $\delta$ retiv
$\dot{u} \mu \mathrm{iv}]$ Ethic dative 'And whereat would you have me set the counter-assessment ${ }^{\text {P }}$
mafeiv ${ }^{n}$ intorioral] A reference to the terms of the law above quoted in the note on $\chi^{i \lambda i a s}$ dpaxuás. See again Demosthenes

 means suffering in person, àmotiocu in pocket. The phrase passed into use in conversation See Xen. Conv V. § 8.
$\left.{ }^{\circ} \tau \tau \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} v\right]$ The indirect form of the phrase, $\tau i \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, which like ri $\pi$ a0dar may loosely be rendered 'Wherefore?' But there is this original difference between the two, that $\tau i \mu a 0 \omega^{\prime} \nu$ must have referred to reasoned and voluntary action, $\tau i$ madùv to involuntary, ' What alls yon that?' See Arist. Acharn. 826 :-

> On what principle do you shine without a wick? (The pun is untranslateable.)

For the undirect form of the phrase, cp Eathyd. 283 E , ooi tis


 to have passed so completely into a mere formula as to admit of being used even in the neuter plural. See Prot 353 D (where Hermann has altered the reading on his own conjecture into ört
mapiven'. Translate here, ' In that, tor whatnoever reason, I allowed my self no iest in the disposal of my hife'
$\tau \hat{\omega} v a \lambda \lambda \omega v \dot{\alpha} \rho X \hat{\omega} v]$ Notice the idiomatic use of äd a an All the things previously mentioned do not come under the head of what follows äd $\lambda a p$, as the word 'other' would mply in English. The force of ädarvextends to all three gemitwes which follow Translate 'and what not besides-official posts and political clabs and the factions that go on in the city' See Riddell's note and Digest, § $4^{6}$, and cp Meno 92 B . A good instance of the idiom in question is to
 à $\lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \xi \in ข \alpha \nu$.
 follows.
 and its infintive is quite usual Cp , for instance, Rep $\mathbf{5 2 6 \mathrm { B } , 0} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$

ivrovita $\dot{j} \mathrm{a}]$ These words are part of the text and have to be supplied mentally, if they are omitted. The whole passage from jो $\gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in v o s$ down may be rendered thas - 'Thinking myself in reality too honest a man to have recourse to these with safety, I accordingly did not have recourse thereto; for, if I had, I should have been likely to have been no use either to you or to myself - but to going to each of you in private and conferring upon you the greatest benefit, as I maintan, to that I did have recourse'
 but the combination of the two is quite usual.
D kai ravitá $\gamma \epsilon$ ] 'And that too,' representing rabeiv above.
rooovitov, ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \tau \mathrm{r}$ ] ' Of such a kind as would be suitable to me' The indefinite, instead of the simple, relative, imparts vagueness in the expression.

 bination of the comparative with the demonstrative construction

 § 164 .
тpuravé $(\varphi]$ Every Greek city had a $\pi \rho u \pi q u \in \hat{n} y$ or town-hall, serving as a hearth and home to the corporate life of the community. It was here that state banquets were given, ambassadors entertained, and pensioners sapported See Liddell and Scott, where abondant references are given. The town-hall at Athens, or part of it, was called Đódos. See note on $3^{2} \mathrm{C}$, т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{y}$ Өóдov.
otreiotar] Ruddell quotes Dem. de Fals. Leg. p 446 ad fin, $\boldsymbol{t i}$
 тuis è̀epyétas;


 immotpóóos of Demosthenes (De Cor. p 33I).
tò 8e ouk fotuv k.t $\lambda$ ] 'But that is not as you imagine, 37 A Athenans, but rather as I will tell you' Tú refers vaguely to the sentence preceding. Distanguish this from the use of $\tau \dot{0} \delta \dot{\delta}$ commented on under 24 A , $\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\mathrm{E}}$ кivovveite. For the force of the pro-

$\delta \operatorname{tec} \lambda \hat{i} \gamma \mu \in \theta a]$ Theaet. $I_{i} \delta \mathrm{C}$ There appears to be no other perfect muddle and passive of סradéra besides this form.
むv evi oif' ötu kakêv ôvcov] In unravelling this curious knot of $\mathbf{B}$ language we must bear in mind that özt is sometimes used superfluously after a verb of knowng which is followed by a patticipial construction (e.g. Gorg. 4 8I D). It is manifest also that $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{y} v}$ is a partutve genitise. The original construction then may be suppnsed
 Then the ordmary attraction of the relative supervened followed by a very uncommon attraction of the predicate. Cp. Soph. Oed. Col.

[roìs évoexa] The Eleven, or commissioners of police at Athens. C One was appointed from each of the ten tribes, and the odd man was their secretary. The brachets mdicate the suspicion of a glows.
Séécotar] 'To lie in chans' A law term. Cp. Dem. 529, 47.
ठıarpıßàs кai rov̀s $\lambda$ dóyous] ' My way of living and talking.' Cp. Gorg $4^{8}{ }_{4} \mathrm{E}$, where the two words occur together again, though the meaning of the first is somewhat different.
 25 D.
 imparts a beanty to it.


kàv $\mu$ ìv toúrous $x, T \lambda$ ] Here we have a dilemma, which is of the knd known as the complex constructive-
If I tum the young men off, they will tum me out; and if I do not turn them off, their parents will turn me out.
But either I mast turn the young men off or not.
$\therefore$ Either they will turm me out or their parents will.
$\dagger \mu \hat{i v}]$ Ethic dative 'Pray, will you not be able?' 75 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}]$ See note on ig A .

$$
\text { APOLOGY, AOTES. } 37 E-3 X C .
$$

之ажрмттия.
$38 \mathrm{~A} \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{a}$ avegetaoros $\beta$ ios $\mathrm{k}, \tau \lambda$.] The influence of the intial ört extends to this clause.
tâta 6i] The $\delta \dot{\xi}$ here emphasizes the apodosis, 'This midece'

 (ther instances of $\delta$ ' in apodosis are Crito $44 \mathrm{~B}, 5 \mathrm{~A}$, Phaedo $7 \mathrm{SC}^{\mathrm{C}}$, So 1), 81 B, 113 E ; Prot $313 \mathrm{~A}, 325 \mathrm{C}$
ric $\delta \dot{6}]$ Nutue how $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ here retams its origunal demonstrative force

及oìteoté $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ truñoau] Ale willing to assess it for me'
 mones. The following passage from Diogenes Laerturs (II §§ 4 I , 42) may be merely an echo of Plato. On the other hand it differs enough from the Apology to rase a presumption of independent



 oıт uरбоп̆коута.
 preserved or invented an anecdote-how Plato, being a very young man at the time of Socrates' tral, mounted the platform, and had got as far as 'Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking,' when he was shouted down by the jurors (Diog. Laert II §4r).

C oủ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \gamma^{\prime}$ eveka Xpóvou] These words are explained by the
 - It is no long time, men of Athens, on account of which ye will have the name and the blame at the hands of those who wish to upbraid the caty,' etc.


 Euripides meant to reproach his countrymen on this ground in his Palamedes, where he said-
'Ekàvet' éкávete tàv ad̀vcopov

Univ] Datrvus commodi. 'Ye would have had this happen.' по́ppu . rov̂ Biov] Cp. тúppou tîs j̀ntuias, Gorg. $4^{\delta} 4 \mathrm{C}$; Xen. Mem. IV 8. §1.

$$
\text { APOLOGY, NOTES. } 3^{8} C-39 E .
$$

roûro] Notice how roûto here is used of what has gone before, while tóde below is used of what is coming. What a person is going to say can be known only to himself, so that öfe, which is the pronoun of the first person, is approprate to express it. Cp note on тav̂̃a каl. ... тo九av̀тa, 34 B.
$\left.\mu^{\prime} \hat{v} \tau 01\right] \quad \mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \tau \tau 0$ is not unfrequently used to balance $\mu^{\prime} \nu \quad \mathrm{Cp} D$


 тoús $\gamma \in$ өappa $\lambda$ ́́ous ảvopetious návras. See on this subject Ruddell, Digest § 162 .
Opquô̂vós $\tau^{\prime} \mu^{\mu} \mathbf{v}$ ] Supply ảkoviév. 'To hear me, I mean, weeping and wailing'
èveka rove ktwívov] 'On account of the danger.' So above, 卫 ov̉ поддой $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ йעєка хро́vou.


$\pi \hat{v} v \pi o \omega \hat{v}$ ] 'By any and every means.' The phrase here contains 39 A the same idea as the word mavoupyos
 $\delta_{1} \delta a k \tau o v$. The easiest explanation of such expressions is to suppose an ellipse of some word like $\phi \circ \beta o \hat{v} \mu a \iota$ or öpa before the $\mu \dot{\eta}$.

Өàrrov yà $\operatorname{\theta ava}$ rou $\theta \in \hat{i}]$ That 1s, the soul is exposed to more chances of death than the body


 vice and imjustice.'

E $6 \in \mathrm{E}]$ ] 'It was destined.'

XP $\eta \sigma \mu \varphi \delta \delta 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ w] See Riddell's note on the subject of prophetic $\mathbf{C}$ power at the point of death. With the references there given we may compare Jacob on his death-bed (Gen. xlvin. ig and xlix) Sce also Phaedo 85 B.
oilav] Agreeing with recapiav understood, a knd of coguate accusative after aтєктóvaтe.

$\pi \lambda$ кious was not fulfilled an argument for believing that in the Apology we have the real defence made by Socrates. But probably to Plato's mind it was fulfilled already in the rise of the various Socratic schools


$$
\text { APOLOGY, AOTES. } 39 E-40 \mathrm{D} .
$$

of ápxovies] 'The magistrates,' 1 e. here the Eleven.
 perhaps because Plato is about to give the reins to his magnation in $千_{1} \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$. For the difference between $\mu \hat{\imath} \theta 0$ and $\lambda$ á रos see Phaedo
 $\mu \dot{v} \theta o u s$, à $\lambda$ ' ov $\lambda 6$ yous $\cdot$ Prot. $320 \mathrm{C}, 324$ D; Gorg. 523 A .
$40 \mathrm{~A} \grave{\omega}$ äv $\delta \rho \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ठıкaczai] This formula was used once before ( 26 D ), but there it was put into the month of Meletus Socrates reserves it for the judges who acquitted him Hitherto he has usually addressed
 $29 \mathrm{~A}, 34 \mathrm{~B}, 35 \mathrm{~B}$ ad fin., 39 E ) or ${ }^{\circ}$ 'A Aqvaiot smply ( $30 \mathrm{~B}, 33 \mathrm{C}$, 37 A).
Sıkaotás] 'Dispensers of justice'
$\pi$ áve è $\pi i$ i $\sigma \mu \mathrm{k} p$ oîs] 'Qute upon trifing matters' For an instance see Euthyd $2_{1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}$ E, where the supernatural stgn checks Socrates when he is about to rise from his seat
 'was quite unwilling.'
B oưre tivika dévéßaivov] 'Nor when I was comng ap here before the court,' i. e. mounting the platform to present myself before the
 סıkaotípoov d̀vaßás
кıvסvvével $\gamma$ áp к.т. A ] 'Perhaps this thing which has happened may have been a good thing for me.' Cp. Xen. Apologra Socratis
 Te $\lambda \in u \tau \hat{a} \nu$; The key-note of that treatise lies in insistance on the fact that Socrates had made up his mind to die. Xenophon tells us that the $\delta a \not c \mu$ óvov hindered Socrates when he attempted to prepare a defence (Mem. IV. 8. §5; Apol. § 4).
C refvavoul Not 'to die,' but 'to be dead.' Cp. Gorg. 493 A ,

$\pi \mathrm{L}$ áyaOd̀v $\pi p a ́ \xi=\mathrm{k} v$ ] 'To meet with some good fortune.'
av̉ró] Refernng to tò teधvàva.
$\hat{\eta}$ Yàp oiov k.r $\lambda$.] 'Either it 15 , as it were, that the dead man is nothing'
toû tótov tồ évévoc] This is a pregant construction similar



 is resumed at of $\uparrow$ act äv below, after the long protasis has intervened The àv strikes the key-note of the sentence as being conditional, but does not become effective till à $\nu \dot{\omega} \rho \in \hat{i}$ in E

ס̀ $\pi$ âs Xpóvos] 'All time,' collectıvely. F

Mives $\tau \epsilon$ к.r..A.] Strictly these names ought to be in apposition 41 A to $\tau o u ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \omega \hat{s} \delta i x a \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime}$, but they are attracted into the nominative through the influence of the relative clanse which intervenes. For a

 ought to be in apposition to the suppressed object after $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \in v \rho \in \hat{v}$. In the Gorgias $5_{23} \mathrm{E}, 524 \mathrm{~A}$, Minos, Rhadamanthys and Aeacus are mentioned as holding judgment on men after death Rhadamanthys has jurisdiction over the souls that come from Asia, Aeacus over those that come from Europe, while Minos holds a court of appeal, in case the other two are in any doubt Rhadamanthys is mentioned in the Odyssey (IV ;64) as living in Elysum Tuptolemus appears only here in a judicial capacty.
'Opфеi . . кai Movaaí $\varphi$ ] These two names occur together again in Prot 316 D; Ion 336 B; Rep. 364 E Plato calls Orpheus the son of Oeagrus (Symp 179 D), and quotes familarly from his poems (Crat 402 B, Phil 66 C, Laws 669 D) But he has not the most distant idea of his date, lumping him along with other early discoverers-Dacdalus, Palamedes, Marsyas, Olympus and Amphion-as having lived some thousand or two thousand years ago (Laws 677 D). The legendary history of Orpheus was evidently known to Plato, as he makes Phaedras in the Symposinm (179 D) give a distorted version of 1 t. The magic of his voice is referred to in Prot 315 A , and the sweetness of his hymns in Laws 829 E. In the vision of Er his soul is made to choose the life of a swan (Rep 620 A) The oracles of Musaeus are mentioned in Herod VIII. 96 They were arranged and edited by Onomacritus, who was banished from Athens by Hipparchus for interpolating them (VII 6). Plato speaks of a host of books passing in his time under the names of Orpheus and Musaeus, which he evidently does not regard as authentic (Rep 364 E . At the same time he acknowledges a genume Musaeus, and criticizes his conception of the future life as a degrading one (Rep. $363 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ). Masaens seems also to have written on cares for diseases (Arist. Frogs 1033). The names of Orphens and Musaeus were connected with mysteres, and were made much use of by a set of priestly pretenders (Prot. 316 D; Rep. 364 E ), who declared these poets to be the offspring of the Moon and the Muses. But these followers of Orpheus (oi $\alpha \mu \phi i$ ' $O p \phi \neq(a)$ were not without therr higher side. They practised vegetarianısm, like the Pythagoreans , Laws 782 C ), and are credited in the Cratylus $: 400 \mathrm{C})$ with the mysterious doctrine, with which Plato was so fascinated, that this life is death, and that the body is

$$
\text { APOLOGI. NOTES. } 41 A-42 A
$$

the grave or prison-house of the soul, w which it suffers for its former sins cp Phaedo 62 B ; Gorg $49^{2} \mathrm{E}, 493 \mathrm{~A}$ ) Aristophanes Frogs 1032,3 sums up pretty well what we know of Orpheus and Musneus.



 ố $\mu a, 40 \mathrm{D}$.
 phon in his Apology mahes Socrates cite the case of Palamedes,
 'Apol. Socr. § 26).
 we had at starting, $\theta \alpha \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \eta ̀$ âv cilך $\dot{\eta}$ סlarpl $\beta \grave{\eta}$ av̉róol It is an instance of binary structure See Riddell, Digest $\S 20{ }_{i}^{7}$

Eiouфov] Mentioned here as a type of cunning.
C ท̂ äl ${ }^{2}$ ovs $\mu$ upious ấv tis citmol] The regular construction is broken off as if in impatuence See Riddell, Digest, § 257
à $\mu$ XXavov sủסaupovias] 'An inconcervable happiness' Lit. 'mconceivable in happiness'
 the sublimest height of Stoicism, tempered with religious fath and hope.
oủ mávu xa入єтaiv ${ }^{\prime}$ ] 'I cannot say I am angry.' See note on naì oủ návv к.т $\lambda$., I9 A

$\left.\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{u} \mu \omega ิ v\right]$ See note on $\pi \epsilon \pi \dot{v} \nu \theta a \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \pi \delta^{\prime}, 1_{7} \mathrm{~A}$.
d $\lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{a} p$ ] 'But (I will say no more' for' etc Translate, 'Put enough-it la now time to go away' See however note on $19($,


## INDEX TO THE NOTES．

## I．ENGLISH．

Accusative after adverbs of swear－ mg， 17 B，$\mu \mathrm{à} \Delta i ́ a$ ．
－govd by verbal substantive． $18 \mathrm{~B}, \tau \dot{a} \tau \in \mu \in \tau \in \propto \omega$
－of the internal object， 22 C ． тíQos ．．．тєтои日ótes
Adverb used as predicate， 30 A ， ѐүvтє́po．
Aeolic aorist， 30 D ，а̀токтеірєєє．
Anacoluthon，19 $\mathrm{E}, \pi \mathrm{te}$ 目ovot ； 21 C ， E $\overline{6} 0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{c} \mu \mathrm{H}$
 ixıуархia．
Aonst of first attainment，Ig A．

Aorist subjunctive forbidding a partcular act， $20 \mathrm{E}, \mu \grave{\eta} \theta$ op $\nu \beta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ ． $\sigma \eta 7 \epsilon$ ．
Article，omission of with proper naine， $3^{2} \mathrm{~B}$, ［＇Avtioxis］．
Attic future， $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ，at $\beta$ Baduteev
－－optative， 35 D ，кат $\boldsymbol{\text { ropoin }}$

Attraction， 18 A, aữ $\eta$ déTウ＇； 20 I ，


－of the Relative， 29 B ，${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ oiiza； ${ }_{37} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
Augment，omission of， 36 A ，àmo－ $\pi \in \phi \in \dot{v} \eta \eta$ ．

Buary structure，4I B，ouve áv ä $\begin{aligned} \text { obes ein }\end{aligned}$

Cheapness of books at Athens，


Choce of Hercules，ig E，про́－ סhas
Cognate accusative， 28 E ，中idooo－
 olay
－after adjectives， $20 \mathrm{~B}, \dot{\text { àperin }}$
Colloqual language of the Apo－ logy，i9 E，$\pi$ eíloua．
Comic poets who attacked So－

Comparative and demonstrative construction combined， 36 D ，

Compressed constraction， 33 D ．


Dative of advantage， 30 A ，vew－

Demonstrative corresponding to personal pronouns， If $_{7} \mathrm{C}$ ， $7 \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \mathrm{f}$

 ．．．．èkeípos．
Dilemma，${ }_{3}{ }^{2} \mathrm{D}, k \dot{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ toútovs．
Double accusative after verbs of seeking，${ }_{2,3} \mathrm{~B}, a ̈ \nu \tau \tau \nu a$ oula $\mu a_{i}$
Drachma，value of， $38 \mathrm{~B}, \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$ àprupiou，


Expulsion of the democracy， 21 A ． $\tau ो \bar{\eta} \phi \nu \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$.

Fullness of expression，ig B，$\delta$ ot－ Badдov oi סıaßádrovтes．



Homer as quoted br Plato and Aristotle, is D, wopaviouv.

Infintive after telative, 29 C , ${ }^{\prime} \phi{ }^{\prime}$

Intenlacing of participles, 27 A ,



Litotes, $\mathrm{I}_{-1} \mathrm{~B}$, où katà $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ừtrus к $7 . \lambda$; 19 A, каì oủ đárv

Meioss. $1_{7}^{7} \mathrm{~B}$, oúv kazd tovtovs


Negative in Greek, where not in English, 27 E . ف́s ov่ tov̂ aủroù.


- in Englith, where not in Greek,

Nominatire betw een ró and infins-

Nomınatıus pendens, aI CC, é $\delta 0 \xi \xi$ $\mu 0$.
 тijєтаи

Particpial clause carryng the force of the sentence, 3 I B , тov̀ro $\gamma$ є.
lerfect expressing a state, 25 D ,

Personal construction in place of impersonal, I8 A, síkaús si $\mu$

Poetry, species of, 22 B , kai $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò̀s äג $\lambda$ ous.

- a form of inspiration, 22 C . фúatl $\boldsymbol{\tau}$
Pregnant construction, 33 D , $\pi \dot{\text { á- }}$ peiour .ìvravooí; 40 C , тoû т
Prophetce power at the point of death, 39 C', х $\chi \eta \sigma \mu \varphi \dot{\varphi} 0 \hat{0} \sigma \iota \nu$


## IADEY TO THE ．WOTES．



－oracle relating to hum，2I 1 ， à $\nu \in \lambda e v$ ．
－regarded as an atheist， 26 C, tis тара́mar oủ vopi¡ecs $\theta$ eoz＇s．
－supported by voluntary contribu－

Sophists，the Grcek equivalent for a linversity education， 23 B ，

－their clarm， 20 B ，$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{d}$ d $\nu \theta p a-$

－why disliked， 19 E ，ìuv cis ह̇んáöтๆu
Subject of the succeeding verb used as object of the preceding， 21 I ． $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \chi р \eta \sigma \mu \dot{\prime} \nu, \tau \iota \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$.
bun and moon regarded as divine



тахє́av катє $\lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\theta} \eta$
1 mesis， 35 D，ă $\lambda \lambda$ ass $\tau \epsilon$ ．
Irial of the Ten General：， $3: 1$ ，
тoùs déka $\pi$ тратךүoís

Verbs of pelceiving constructell with a participle， 20 A，èmid $\eta$－ $\mu 0 \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} a$ ．
lirtual passives， $1 \%$ ，$\lambda$, тemurbate ímú； 26 A ，$\grave{\epsilon} \dot{a} v \mu \dot{a} \theta \omega, 35 \mathrm{D}$ ，
 воидоцevary
Virtue，material adivantages of，



## II．GREEK

à $\gamma p o i ̂ k o s ~ a n d ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \epsilon i o s, ~ 32 ~ D, ~ a ̀ \gamma p o s-~$ ко́тєpov

à $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ yáp， $19 \mathrm{C} ; 42.1$
á入入o $\tau t \eta^{\prime \prime}, 2+\mathrm{C}$
ä $\lambda \lambda$ os，idiomatic use of， $36 \mathrm{~B}, \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega v$ ảp $\chi \omega ิ \nu$
a $\mu \phi \hat{\text { ，idiomath use of，} 18 \mathrm{~B}, \text { ，} o z ̀ s}$ $\dot{a} \mu \phi i^{\prime}$ Avvtov


áv，with fat indic， 29 C, t $\nu \delta$ ou－

ìvßаiveıv，I7 D，àvaßé $\beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ．
àva $\gamma^{\nu}$ иิvaı， 19 B
àva
えे $\nu a \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha 1,32 \mathrm{C}$ ．
àv $1 / \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}, 27 \mathrm{C}$ ．


ä $\tau \epsilon, 23 \mathrm{D}$ ，äтє ．．．
 $\sigma \in L \in \nu$ ．
$\dot{a} \tau \tau \alpha$ and $\alpha \quad \tau \tau \alpha, 30 \mathrm{C}$ ．
av̉ró，vague use of，al b．
óaípoyes， 27 D ．


ס́́，emphatic， 32 D ，тоútov $\delta \in$ tì

Sıaßo入 $\dot{\eta}=$ prejudice． 19 A．

入ó ${ }^{\text {ónovs．}}$
סteí $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \gamma \mu a t, 37 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
סitúpa $\beta$ Bos， 22 B．

${ }_{\epsilon} \delta_{\epsilon \epsilon \iota}=$ it was destined， 39 B.
ei，superpusition of， 29 B ，ひ̈ot

LWDES TO TIE IOTES.
ci mávv пo

eipareia of Socrates，$I_{i} \mathrm{~K}$ ，où natà tov́tovs cilval pijtap， 32 B ，j̀vav－

－of Attic dictıon． 19 A ，kaì où
 $\beta \lambda \dot{\sim} \dot{\psi} \psi \tau \epsilon$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \in \lambda \hat{\omega} s, 20^{\circ}{ }^{-1}$
iv $\dot{u} \lambda c \gamma \omega, 22 \mathrm{~B}$

èv $\delta \in \iota \kappa v \dot{v a}$ ， m lan， 32 B
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \delta \in \kappa n$ ，oi， 37 C ．

ìvTє̂̂قєv，used of persons， $2: 1$ ，
－kaì èvт
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi^{\omega}$ ．constr of， $23 A, \grave{a}_{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$

èt＇aủтоф́upqu， 22 B
غ̀ $\pi \epsilon i=$ though，ig $\mathbf{E}$ ．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi\left\llcorner\sigma \tau^{\prime} \mu \mu \mathrm{r}\right.$ ，etymologically cont－ nected by Plato with emtovárचs． 20 B



$-6 v \omega$ ，force of termination， 32 A

є $\varnothing \eta \eta_{\sigma} \theta \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{C}$
そ’ $\chi \in เ \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \mu a \quad 22 \mathrm{D}$
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \in i \sigma \theta a l=$ believe in，IS C ，où $\delta:$ $\theta \in o ̀ ̀ s ~ \nu 0 \mu i \varsigma \epsilon ้ \nu$.
$\eta_{\eta} \dot{u}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ ，used as aor．of épeuráa， 20 A ，à $\nu р \tilde{u}^{\mu} \mu \nu$.
$\theta$ eia $\mu$ дipa， 33 C．
$\theta \in \mu$ เт $\dot{v}, 30$ D．
$\theta \in o ́ s$, feminine， 28 C．
Gúlos，市， 32 C．
kai，alternative use of， 23 A ，кal où $\delta$ eyós．
каí，expletrive use of， 28 B ，öтои т！

 ioneîs．



nヶทิots，different meanings of， 20 1）
入ó $\gamma 0$ s，in grammar， $1_{7} \mathrm{D}$ ，$\dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma 6$ тє каі о̀ үо́даб！
$\mu \dot{a} \Delta i a, 1 ; \mathrm{B}$.
$\mu a v \tau \epsilon i a$ and $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i o v, 22.1$ ，iva $\mu 06$ $k \tau \lambda$
$\mu$ avt $\epsilon i o v$, differentmeanings of， 21 C
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 20 \mathrm{E}$
$\mu^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ and $\delta \xi^{\prime}$ ，duplication of， 28 I ． ＇E $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text {à }}$ oưv $\kappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$


$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，hypothetical use of， $29 \mathrm{~B}, \dot{a}$ $\mu \eta$ oìa．
$\mu \nu ̀ \theta o s$ and $\lambda u ́ \gamma o s, 3 y$ L，$\delta i a \mu u \theta o \lambda o-$ $\gamma \eta \hat{\eta}^{\circ} \sigma \alpha$.
$\mu v p i o s$ and $\mu \dot{p}$ ius， 23 B ，$\grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \in \nu i a$ $\mu v p i a ̣$
$\mu \dot{\omega} \omega \psi, 30 \mathrm{E}$
$\nu \eta$ ，used in oaths，${ }_{7} 7$ D．$\mu \mathrm{a}$ sia
चों тòv кv́va， 21 E
vopíselv＝belleve $\mathrm{m}, ~ 18$（C＇，ovö̀

jxiyov， 17 A．
ù $\lambda$ í jou $\delta \in i ̂ v, 22 A$
 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a l$ д̀ $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota$
 і $\rho \times \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a s$
öTt，superflnous use of，after a verb of knowing，which is followed
 ӧть к．т．$\lambda$ ．
ö́c，with the direct narration， 21 （＇
où and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，in questions， $25 \mathrm{~A}, \mu \eta े ~ o f$


०ủ $\pi \alpha ́ v v, ~ i g ~ A, ~ k a l ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi a ́ v v ; ~ 4 I ~ D, ~$

oútauti， 26 E ．

## INDEX TO THE NOTES.

${ }_{\text {où }} \phi \eta \mu^{\prime}, 20 \mathrm{E}, \phi \eta \sigma i ; 25 \mathrm{~B}$, oủ $\phi \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ où $\delta^{\prime} a \nu{ }^{2} \nu v^{\prime}, 32 \mathrm{~A}$


$\pi а \theta \epsilon i v \geqslant \hat{\eta}$ àmoтîбau, 36 B.
$\pi$ àv $\pi 0$ ồv, 39 A.
$\pi \alpha ́ v v$, position of, $40 \mathrm{~A}, \pi \alpha ́ v v$ èmì $\sigma$ uıkpuîs
тapá, of comparison, 28 C.
таріє $\mu a t$, I 7 C


є่र̀े бкотผิv
$\pi \in ́ \mu \pi т о$ aủrós, $3_{2} \mathrm{C}$
$\pi \in \pi о \nu \theta$ むेs ย̆боцаи, 42 A .

$\pi \in \rho\llcorner\varphi \in \rho \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$, I9 C .
тo $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{0} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$, its constr, 30 D
по́ppou тоиิ Biov, 38 C.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \dot{T} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in v \tau \eta$ ins, 39 B .
тробкаві' $\omega$, 31 A
$\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a v$, redundant with $\pi \rho i v$, 36 C.
тро́хєєpos, 23 D
тритаvєíov, 36 D
 $\tau \epsilon$ наі òvóдабь

$\tau \in$ тvával, 40 C .
 $34 \mathrm{E}, \tau \geqslant \lambda \_k o ́ v \delta \bar{\epsilon}$.

тi $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $r^{i} \pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu, ~ з 6 \mathrm{~B}$, é ть

tuva, omission of, $2 y \mathrm{~A}$, סokeiv бофд̀̀ eival.
тò $\delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon=$ whereas, 23 A , rذ̀ $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \mathrm{c}$ кıvסvvev́el.

- vague demonstralive use of,


tojuos, of rehearsing past selvices,


ítelıádoı $\mu, 3_{2} \mathrm{~A}$.
$i \pi \epsilon \rho=\pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime}, 39 \mathrm{E}$.
$\dot{\dot{v}} \boldsymbol{j}^{\prime}=$ by reason of, $17 \mathrm{~A}, \dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ aùr $\omega \mathrm{\omega}$
 $\mu \in \mathrm{y}$ os.

хрпбно́s, 21 C
 40 A.

©is
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov̉ and $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta, 26 \mathrm{D}$, $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$


む̄'тãv, 25 C.

## INDEX OF PERSONS.

( $n$ appended refers the reader to the notes.)

Idermantus, $34 \mathrm{~A}, n$
. Leacus, $41 \mathrm{~A}, n$
deantodorus, 34 A
leschines, the Socratic, $33 \mathrm{E}, n$.
Ajax, the son of Telamon, $4^{1} \mathrm{~B}$.
Analagoras, 26 D
Anytus, $18 \mathrm{~B}, 12 ; 23 \mathrm{E}, n ; 25 \mathrm{~B}$,
$29 \mathrm{C} ; 30 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C} ; 3 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{A} ; 34 \mathrm{~B}$; 36 A.
Antiphon, of Ceplusus, $33 \mathrm{E}, n$
Apollodorus, $34 \mathrm{~A}, n ; 38 \mathrm{~B}$.
driston, 34 A.
Arstophanes, 19 C .
Callias, the son of Hıpponicus, 20 A, $n$
Chaerephon, 20 E. $12 ; 21 \mathrm{~A}$.
Crito, $33 \mathrm{D}, n ; 38$ B.
Critobulas, $33 \mathrm{D}, n ; 38 \mathrm{~B}$
Demodocus, 34 A, 12
Epigenes, 33 E, $几$.
Evenus, $20 \mathrm{~B}, n$.
Gorgias, is E, $n$.
Hector, 28 C .
Hippias of Elis, ig L, $n$
Homer, 4 A .
Leon, of Salamis, $32 \mathrm{C}, \mu, \mathrm{D}$.

Lycon, 23 E, 24 A, $n$
Lysanias, the father of Aeschines, $33 \mathrm{E}, 4$
Meletus, 19 B, $n, \mathrm{C} ; 23 \mathrm{E} ; 24 \mathrm{~B}$ $28 \mathrm{~A} ; 30 \mathrm{C} ; 3 \mathrm{ID}, 34 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$
$35 \mathrm{D} ; 36 \mathrm{~A} ; 37 \mathrm{~B}$.
Minos, $41 \mathrm{~A}, n$
Musaeus, 41 A, $n$.
Nicostratus, 33 E .
Orpheus, 41 A, $n$
Palamedes, 4 I B
Paralus, the son of Demodocus, 33 E.
Patroclus, 28 C .
Plato, 34 A; 38 B
Prodicus, is $\mathrm{E}, n$
Rhadamanthys, +I , $n$.
Sisyphus, 4 I C
Socrates, passzm
Theages, $34 \mathrm{~A}, n$
Theodotus, 33 E.
Theozotdes, 33 F
Thetis, 28 C.
Triptolemus, 4 I A, $n$.
Ulysses, 41 B.
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